Review (Narrative)

Bio-refinery for Wastewater Remediation
How to Adopt Microalgae? (Part I)

Megan Chagin, PhD;[1] Darren J. Brewer, PhD,[2]

SUMMARY

Microalgae have been appeared to be a wellspring of various bio-based items running from high esteem particles to products. This paper is centered on the significance of outfitting the bioremediation limit of microalgae to treat wastewaters with a specific end goal to build up the microalgae business (particularly the microalgae biofuel industry) and to discover different other options to the exemplary wastewater treatment forms. There are many applications of wastewater and one of the most prominent examples is that it can be used as a bio fuel feed stock. Apart from this wastewater is also important because it is needed for the advancement of microalgae bio fuel industry. There are many ways to treat wastewater and used it in the biofuel industry and urban wastewater treatment is one of them. In urban wastewater treatment the toxins that are present in wastewater are treated and it is then used in biofuel industry. Another way of treatment is industrial or agricultural wastewater treatment. In this process the microalgae that is present in wastewater is utilized. Nowadays some techniques are introduced by which for the vaccination of microalgae and these techniques are simple and easy to be implemented.■

KEYWORDS Microalgae, Wastewater, Environment, Sustainable development, Biofuel

Sci Insigt. 2017; 2017:e00006. doi:10.15354/si.17.re005

Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Correspondence to: Dr. Megan Chagin, PhD, Email: mchagin@basehq.org, Division of Biology and Chemistry,
Or
Dr. Darren J. Brewer, PhD, Email: djbrewer@basehq.org, Division of Energy and Resources.
The BASE, Chapel Hill, NC 27510, USA

Microalgae have been appeared to be a wellspring of various bio-based items running from high esteem particles to products. Alongside their capability to create a huge assortment of items, microalgae can likewise be utilized for the depollution of wastewaters of various birthplaces (urban, mechanical, and horticultural). This paper is centered on the significance of outfitting the bioremediation limit of microalgae to treat wastewaters with a specific end goal to build up the microalgae business (particularly the microalgae biofuel industry) and to discover different other options to the exemplary wastewater treatment forms. The ebb and flow look into on the capability of microalgae to treat a particular wastewater or a focused on contamination is assessed and examined.

COMPLEMENTARITIES BETWEEN THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND THE MICROALGAE INDUSTRIES

Microalgae have different potential applications, of which the most encouraging future goal on a vast scale is their utilization as a biofuel feedstock (1). Various microalgae-based items are as of now entrenched in other high-esteem markets, for instance as a human dietary supplement (nutraceuticals) and as a segment in creature sustain (2). By and by, impressive advances in the field of science and significant handling upgrades are required to accomplish financial, ecological, and vivacious supportability in the generation of microalgae biofuels (3). Wastewater constitutes an incredible open door for microalgae as it can be considered as a medium for developing them requiring little to no effort and as another potential market. Through their different methods of sustenance (photo trophy, heterotrophy, mixotrophy), microalgae can successfully expel an expansive scope of chemicals from fluid networks. Among the different procedures feasible for temperate expansive scale generation of micro algal biomass, a coupling of wastewater treatment with algal cultivating is perhaps the most sensible because of the comparable scale and creation offices that both ventures depend on (as it will be represented and examined in this article). The extra underneath from such coupling is the advancement of on location neighborhood ventures and all the more imperatively, the end of a vast negative ecological impression that would somehow or another emerge from the contamination connected with supplement assembling, transportation and change in land utilize.

Microalgae Industry: A Need for Wastewater

A few studies have demonstrated that the utilization of wastewater is a need for the advancement of the microalgae biofuel generation industry (4-7). Microalgae generation is done at a high cost these days. Real expenses are in the request of greatness of 100 €/kg of biomass. For instance, the creation cost of a genuine microalgae generation plant of 30 m3 of tubular photo bioreactors was evaluated to be €69 per kg of dry weight utilizing information gathered amid two years of ceaseless operation (4). In France, spirulina, an outstanding simple to-develop cyanobacteria, is generally sold at a cost of €150-200/kg of dry weight. The two fundamental contributing elements to the high cost are the unoptimised forms utilized and also the little size of operations (economies of scale). Future expenses of substantial scale creation are assessed through techno-financial extrapolations. The outcomes shift incredibly between studies relying upon the theories and the esteem decided for the key parameters, (for example, lipid efficiency). All things considered, the evaluated generation cost of microalgae biodiesel is around €2.5/L (8). Still, these expenses are too high to address the present vitality showcase (€0.6/L for petroleum diesel) and not sufficiently aggressive to persuade the petrochemical business that microalgae could turn into a profitable feedstock even in the long haul. The real test of microalgae biofuel creation is to lessen the generation cost. Restricting the utilization of mechanical supplements could contribute since they have a non-unimportant effect on the creation cost (somewhere around 1% and 10% relying upon the procedure (3)). Furthermore, supplements and water must be utilized reasonably because of three noteworthy actualities: (i) life cycle investigations have demonstrated that supplements highly affect the natural efficiencies of the microalgae generation (9), (ii) water shortage is a notable worldwide issue (10), and (iii) phosphorus is a non-renewable asset (11). These financial and natural downsides can be somewhat overcome by utilizing wastewater (modern, horticultural or urban) as development substrate for micro algal biomass creation. The interest for freshwater and mechanical supplements can be significantly decreased, along these lines cutting down the generation cost and ecological effect of the entire procedure. Also, the cost of wastewater treatment utilizing ordinary procedures can be as high as $0.682/m3 if layer bioreactors are utilized (12). Part or of this cost can be recovered as credits for the positive ecological effect made by wastewater remediation by microalgae.

PREVAILING KNOWLEDGE ON MICROALGAE TO TREAT WASTEWATERS

The capability of microalgae to treat wastewaters has been assessed through three diverse methodologies: (i) the effectiveness of microalgae-based high-rate algal lakes (HRAPs) treating urban wastewater, (ii) the capacity of microalgae to treat particular wastewaters (horticultural or mechanical) and, (iii) the capacity of microalgae to treat a particular toxin (for the most part a micro pollutant or a modern poison). These three methodologies will be surveyed and talked about in this area.

Urban Wastewater Treatment

The three fundamental toxins found in urban wastewaters are carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). The capacity of microalgae to regard mineral contamination, for example, mineralized types of nitrogen (ammonium, NH_4^+ or nitrate, NO3$^-$) and phosphorus (phosphate, PO4^{3-}) is outstanding and recorded. Since the 1950's, Prof. Oswald and his group of the University of California have finished broad studies. For instance, they watched great evacuation rates for ammonium (NH^{4+}-N, 85%-90%) and phosphorus (PO4^{3-} P, 95%-99%) in two 1,000 m^2 pilot-scale HRAPs (13). From that point forward, a considerable measure of different studies have exhibited the capacity of microalgae to treat urban wastewater and concentrated for the most part on process intensification. For instance, urban wastewater treatment has been overseen on the long haul and a mean biomass efficiency of 16.7g/m^2/day (greatest of 24.7 g/m^2/day) being gotten in a pilot-scale HRAP working at four days of water driven maintenance time (HRT) (14). Other than mineral toxins, microalgae can likewise diminish the natural stacking rate (C). A few studies have demonstrated this viewpoint. For instance, 70% synthetic oxygen request (COD) diminishment (3000 to 400 mg O_2/L) in 13 days was acquired on a centrate from urban WWTP by a PBR immunized with Chlorella sp. (15). A blend of Chlorella sp. what's more, Scenedesmus sp. in a pilot-scale 16 m^2 open lake could expel 90% of the COD of a urban wastewater (from 180 to under 20 mg O_2/L, (16)). All the more as of late, microalgae have been appeared to develop on changed carbon substrates in wastewater open lakes, from straightforward atoms (glucose, lactose) up to entirely complex ones (a-cyclodextrin, Tween 40 and 80) (17).

Industrial or Agricultural Wastewater Treatment

Another exploration approach for the utilization of microalgae in wastewater treatment is to assess the capacity of some microalgae strains to expel contamination from specific wastewaters (modern or horticultural) which are inadequately treated utilizing the ordinary enacted slime prepare. Mechanical wastewaters from molasses-based refineries are created in extensive volumes (15 L of effluent per liter of liquor delivered) with high Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and COD fixations (normal scopes of 40-50 g O_2/L and 80-100 g O_2/L, individually) (18). The COD of a pH-balanced liquor refinery wastewater (pH = 6.0-7.0) could be diminished from 20 to 1.5 g O_2/L in 3 days in a 50 L PBR utilizing Chlorella sorokiniana (with a 95% lessening in nitrate, 77% in phosphate and 35% in sulfate) (19). Various other mechanical wastewaters can be dealt with utilizing microalgae. For instance, microalgae can likewise be efficient in treating wastewaters from the mash and paper industry. A consortium from an adjustment lake could evacuate up to 58% of COD, 84% of shading and 80% of absorbable natural incandescent lamp (AOX) from a weakened mash and paper industry wastewater (20). The treatment of dairy wastewaters by microalgae has additionally been contemplated. The level of nitrate could be diminished by 90%, alkali by 90%, phosphorus by 70% and COD by 60% in a dairy wastewater utilizing Chlamydomonas polypyrenoideum as a part of 10 days in 250 mL fiasks (21). Utilizing an open air 40 L PBR, Chlorella sp. could achieve expulsion rates of 41.31, 6.58, and 2.74 mg/L/day for COD, add up to nitrogen (TN) and aggregate phosphorus (TP) separately when developed on a dairy wastewater. Microalgae can likewise prepare oil refinery wastewaters: 97% decrease of ammonium, 69% diminishment of TN and 90% lessening in TP have been gotten following three days of bunch treatment. Cover wastewaters have been effectively prepared by a consortium of 15 local microalgae disconnected from these cover wastewaters. The procedure could efficiently lessen the contamination in 10 days in four 950 L raceway lakes, specifically the COD (from 1 412 mg O_2/L to 106-183 mg O_2/L), the BOD (from 331-487 mg O_2/L to 2-21 mg O_2/L), the Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN, from 32.6-45.9 mg/L to 3.97-5.53 mg/L) and PO_4^{3-} (from 20.31-35.10 mg/L to 17.59-21.95 mg/L).

Corrosive mine seepage (AMD) is another kind of wastewater that causes major ecological contamination in nations having memorable or flow mining businesses. Pilot-scale explores in 1 m3 organic treatment test cells have been performed to treat AMD. A cyanobacteria-microbial consortium caught in a substrate (containing powdered goat excrement, wood chips, and soil) was utilized, framing a microbial tangle. Promising expulsion rates were watched for metals: 95% for Fe, 79%-97% for Cu, 84%-86% for Zn, 88% for Pb, 59%-83% for Co, 22%-62% for Ni, and 28%-45% for Mn. Microalgae are additionally successful for treating rural wastewaters. For instance, 97 strains were screened for treating 20-crease weakened swine fertilizer wastewater. Two of them were chosen (development rate of 0.536 and 0.433 d/l) and approved in a two-stage culture (first mixotrophic and afterward photoautotrophic). Olive factory wastewaters can likewise been dealt with utilizing microalgae utilizing Scenedesmus sp. for instance, despite the fact that phenolic mixes hindered the depollution.

Precise Pollutant Debasement: Types and Contrivance

Numerous studies have likewise explored the capability of microalgae to corrupt specific toxins (PPCPS, EDCs, overwhelming metals,). Run of the mill illustrations will be assessed in this segment, furthermore accumulated in Table 1.For example, are view) has listed a high number of micro pollutants (>25) for which corruption by microalgae has been concentrated on (22). For instance, p-chlorophenol can be corrupted at a rate of 10 mg/L/day by a consortium of two animal categories (Chlorella vulgaris and Coenochloris pyrenoidosa) detached from water contaminated with a few fragrant toxins (23). It has been demonstrated that the de-

basement of phenolic mixes is specifically identified with photosynthesis for Scenedesmus obliquus (24). This green alga is fit for corrupting phenol at a centralization of 1.5 mM (141 mg/L) and sometimes dichlorophenols when a carbon source and light are given. Hormones can likewise be changed by microalgae (25). In 5 days explore, Scenedesmus obliquus and Chlorella pyrenoidosa corrupted 1.6 µM (0.5 mg/L) of progesterone (>95% lessening) or 1.6 µM (0.5 mg/L) of norgestrel (100% for S. obliquus and 60% for C. pyrenoidosa). Hormones were changed by the microalgae by means of hydroxylation, hydrogenation and dehydrogenation (25). Chlorella pyrenoidosa was additionally exceptionally efficient in corrupting triclosan, a regularly utilized biocide (26). The creators noticed that C. pyrenoidosa could evacuate half of triclosan at 800 mg/L in 60 minutes. Likewise, 77.2% of triclosan at 800 mg/L could be corrupted inside 4 days. Anti-microbials can be prepared utilizing microalgae too. For instance, antibiotic medication, a veterinary anti-toxin, could likewise be expelled in a HRAP by photo degradation (27). HRAP when contrasted with the ordinary enacted muck handle offers the extra favorable position that the water is held in much shallower lakes, along these lines permitting better light infiltration through the water segment. Not just improves photon catch by the algal photosynthetic mechanical assembly, it likewise upgrades the photo degradation of photosensitive atoms. Endocrine disruptor's are another major class of micro pollutants. Chlorococcum sp. what's more, Scenedesmus sp. have been appeared to corrupt two endocrine disturbing chemicals, an endosulfan (a cyclodiene bug spray) and to a lesser degree its oxidation item, endosulfan sulfate, through biosorption and after that biotransformation (28). In any case, at high fixations, endocrine disruptors can be lethal to microalgae by affecting their photosynthetic movement. To be sure, the photosystem II vitality fixes of two green microalgae and 4-octylphenol, 4-nonylphenol and β-estradiol influenced two cyanobacteria. Overwhelming metals are contaminations regularly experienced in modern wastewater. The instrument of overwhelming metal detoxification is interceded by class III metallothioneins (MtIII) in microalgae as itemized in an audit concentrating on the organic systems of substantial metal aggregation and detoxification by microalgae. They have additionally recorded distinctive cases of fruitful substantial metal bioremediation by microalgae, and the class Scenedesmus (U^{6+}, Cu^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Zn^{2+}) has all the earmarks of being a standout amongst the most efficient species for bioremediation purposes. A later audit proposed a very much reported rundown of substantial metal bioremediation by microalgae (Cd^{2+},Cr^{3+}, Cu^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Hg^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, Zn^{2+} et al.) through detoxification furthermore biosorption (overwhelming metal ties on dead microalgae cells) (29).

SEEDING ACCESSIONS FOR ADEQUATE BIO-CONVERSION OF NUTRIENTS AND POLLUTANTS IN WASTEWATER

Two techniques have been embraced for the vaccination of the procedure with microalgae: either select an appropriate microalgae strain through a screening strategy or permit a characteristic, indigenous consortium to develop and get to be built up in the water. Screening strategies are intends to examine the biodiversity with a specific end goal to decide the best microalgae strain for a specific application. It has been utilized just as of late to treat a wastewater or expelling a specific poison. The development of 14 strains (from Chlorella, Haematococcus, Scenedesmus, Chlamydomonas, and Chloroccum) was tried on centrate (i.e., the procedure water originating from the dewatering forms in a WWTP). All could develop and Chlorella kessleri demonstrated the most elevated final biomass focus (2.01 g/L). 100 nearby strains from Quebec (Canada) have been screened on 12-well plates utilizing artificial medium (Bold's Basal Medium) and a genuine auxiliary effluent from a WWTP at 10 and 22 ºC. The creators utilized criteria, for example, biomass profitability, and lipid substance and supplement expulsion. These strategies, consolidated with most recent sub-atomic science advances can be exceptionally efficient for describing microalgae strains and selecting the ones with the most noteworthy potential. In any case, the consequences of these screenings can't be specifically connected on the expansive scale. The heartiness of the chose strain must be tried first. Wastewaters are sullied with different microorganisms that can be impeding to the microalgae development. All the more vitally, the natural conditions are continually differing (basically atmosphere and wastewater qualities) and the microalgae need to withstand and adjust to manage these progressions. The utilization of consortia to improve the wastewater treatment is very much recorded. A survey on the utilization of wastewater to convey the microalgae development to monetary suitability referred to various studies expressing benefits of consortia, either bacterial-micro algal consortia or consortia between different microalgae strains. The microbial communications were very much depicted in another survey (30). The attending arrival of carbon dioxide through bacterial heterotrophy and of oxygen through algal photosynthesis guarantees a vaporous harmony in the water which benefits both the algal and bacterial fiora. The synergistic impacts amongst microalgae and microbes in consortia on contamination evacuation rates have been exhibited. To be sure, the best evacuation rates of fragrant contaminations (>85%) were recorded

when both microalgae and microscopic organisms were brooded under consistent lighting. These consortia are less subject to fluctuations in the ecological conditions and more impervious to pollutions. In addition, micro algal-microbial foils settled more effortlessly than micro algal foils, accordingly making a characteristic bio flocculation marvel, which is vital for efficient gathering of the biomass. The treatment of aquaculture wastewater was tried utilizing axenic and non-axenic culture of Chlorella sp., Scendesmus sp. furthermore, an indigenous consortium. The creators found that microalgae were great at evacuating nitrogen yet those microscopic organisms were required for expelling natural poisons. Moreover, microscopic organisms can advance microalgae development. Better chlorophyll a substance was additionally acquired in the co-societies of Chlorella vulgaris and Bacillus licheniformis than in the way of life of Chlorella vulgaris alone. Furthermore, the best expulsion rates for NH^{4+} and TP were acquired for the co-societies in contrast with single societies.■

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Author Affiliations: Division of Biology and Chemistry (Chagin); Division of Energy and Resources(Brewer), The BASE, Chapel Hill, NC 27510, USA.

Author Contributions: All authors have full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
Study concept and design: All authors.
Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.
Drafting of the manuscript: All authors.
Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.
Statistical analysis: N/A.
Obtained funding: N/A.
Administrative, technical, or material support: All authors.
Study supervision: All authors.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: All authors declared no competing interests of this manuscript submitted for publication.

Funding/Support: N/A.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: N/A.

How to Cite This Paper: Chagin M, Brewer D. Bio-refinery for wastewater remediation: How to adopt microalgae? (part I) 2017; 2017:e00006.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): http://dx.doi.org/10.15354/si.17.re005.

Article Submission Information: Received, November 13, 2016; Revised: December 19, 2016; Accepted: January 20, 2017.

REFERENCES

1. Wijffels RH, Kruse O, Hellingwerf KJ. Potential of industrial biotechnology with cyanobacteria and eukaryotic microalgae. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2013; 24:405-413.
2. Milledge J. Commercial application of microalgae other than as biofuels: A brief review. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 2011; 10:31-41.
3. Delrue F, Setier PA, Sahut C, Cournac L, Roubaud A, Peltier G. An economic, sustainability, and energetic model of biodiesel production from microalgae. Bioresour Technol 2012; 111:191-200.
4. Acién FG, Fernández JM, Magán JJ, Molina E. Production cost of a real microalgae production plant and strategies to reduce it. Biotechnol Adv 2012; 30:1344-1353.
5. Park JBK, Craggs RJ, Shilton AN. Wastewater treatment high rate algal ponds for biofuel production. Bioresour Technol 2011; 102:35-42.
6. Pittman JK, Dean AP, Osundeko O. The potential of sustainable algal biofuel production using wastewater resources. Bioresour Technol 2011; 102:17-25.
7. Chaput G, Charmanski K, Farag I. Sustainable Production of Microalgae Oil Feedstock Using Municipal Wastewater and CO2 Fertilization. Int J Eng Sci Technol 2012; 4:3489-3499.
8. Quinn JC, Davis R. The potentials and challenges of algae based biofuels: A review of the techno-economic, life cycle, and resource assessment modeling. Bioresour Technol 2015; 184:444-452.
9. Collet P, Hélias A, Lardon L, Ras M, Goy RA, Steyer JP. Life-cycle assessment of microalgae culture coupled to biogas production. Bioresour Technol 2011; 102:207-214.
10. Gosling SN, Arnell NW. A global assessment of the impact of climate change on water scarcity. Clim Chang 2013; 1-15.
11. Cordell D, Drangert J, White S. The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought. Glob Environ Chang 2009; 19:292-305.
12. Guo T, Englehardt J, Wu T. Review of cost versus scale: Water and wastewater treatment and reuse processes. Water Sci Technol 2015; 69:223-234.
13. Nurdogan Y, Oswald WJ. Enhanced nutrient removal in high-rate ponds. Water Sci Technol 1995; 31:33-43.
14. Park JBK, Craggs RJ. Wastewater treatment and algal production in high rate algal ponds with carbon dioxide addition. Water Sci Technol 2010; 61:633-639.
15. Min M, Wang L, Li Y, Moher MJ, Hu B, Zhou W, Chen P, Ruan R. Cultivating Chlorella sp. in a pilot-scale photo bioreactor using centrate wastewater for microalgae biomass production and wastewater nutrient removal. Appl Biochem Biotech 2011; 165:123-137.
16. Hammouda A, Gaber A, Abdelraouf N. Microalgae and Wastewater Treatment Ecotox Environ Safe. 1995; 31:205-210.
17. Peng FQ, Ying GG, Yang B, Liu S, Lai HJ, Liu YS, Chen ZF, Zhou GJ. Biotransformation of progesterone and norgestrel by two freshwater microalgae (Scenedesmus obliquus and Chlorella pyrenoidosa): Transformation kinetics and products identification. Chemosphere 2014; 95:581-588.
18. Sankaran K, Premalatha M, Vijayasekaran M, Somasundaram VT. DEPHY project: Distillery wastewater treatment through anaerobic digestion

and phycoremediation-A green industrial approach. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2014; 37:634-643.
19. Solovchenko A, Pogosyan S, Chivkunova O, Selyakh I, Semenova L, Voronova E, Scherbakov P, Konyukhov I, Chekanov C, Kirpichnikov M, Lobakova E. Phycoremediation of alcohol distillery wastewater with a novel Chlorella sorokiniana strain cultivated in a photobioreactor monitored on-line via chlorophyll fuorescence. Algal Res 2014; 6:234-241.
20. Tarlan E, Dilek FB, Yetis U. Effectiveness of algae in the treatment of a wood-based pulp and paper industry wastewater. Bioresour Technol 2002; 84: 1-5.
21. Kothari R, Prasad R, Kumar V, Singh DP. Production of biodiesel from microalgae Chlamydomonas polypyrenoideum grown on dairy industry wastewater. Bioresour Technol 2013; 144:499-503.
22. Tian-Yuan Z, Yin-Hu W, Lin-Lan Z, Xiao-Xiong W, Hong-Ying H. Screening heterotrophic micro algal strains by using the Biolog method for biofuel production from organic wastewater. Algal Res 2014; 6:175-179.
23. Lima SAC, Raposo MFJ, Castro PML, Morais RM. Biodegradation of p-chlorophenol by a microalgae consortium. Wat Res 2004; 38:97-102.
24. Papazi A, Kotzabasis K. "Rational" management of dichlorophenols biodegradation by the microalga scenedesmus obliquus. PLoS ONE 2013; 8.
25. Subashchandrabose SR, Ramakrishnan B, Megharaj M, Venkateswarlu K, Naidu R. Mixotrophic cyanobacteria and microalgae as distinctive biological agents for organic pollutant degradation. Environ Int 2013; 51:59-72.
26. Wang S, Wang X, Poon K, Wang Y, Li S, Liu H, Lin S, Cai Z. Removal and reductive dechlorination of triclosan by Chlorella pyrenoidosa. Chemosphere 2013; 92:1498-1505.
27. De Godos I, Muñoz R, Guieysse B. Tetracycline removal during wastewater treatment in high-rate algal ponds. J Hazard Mater 2012; 229-230:446-449.
28. Sethunathan N, Megharaj M, Chen ZL, Williams BD, Lewis G, Naidu R. Algal degradation of a known endocrine disrupting insecticide, a-endosulfan, and its metabolite, endosulfan sulfate, in liquid medium and soil. J Agric Food Chem 2004; 52:3030-3035.
29. Kumar KS, Dahms HU, Won EJ, Lee JS, Shin KH. Microalgae-A promising tool for heavy metal remediation. Ecotoxicol Environ Safe 2015; 113:329-352.
30. Muñoz R, Guieysse B. Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: A review. Water Res 2006; 40:2799-2815.