

A Review on the Nature Economics

Charles Ray Hudson, Dennis Loo*

University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712, USA

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Dennis Loo

Authors' Contact: Dr. Charles Ray Hudson, Ph.D., M.Sc., E-mail: crhudson-austin@gmail.com; Dr. Dennis Loo, Ph.D., E-mail:

dennisloo@outlook.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re1125>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The authors declare no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The authors affirm that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Understanding that environmental resources are crucial to economic prosperity, nature economics studies the complex interrelationships between the natural world and economic systems. Nature economics aims to promote a peaceful coexistence between human activity and the environment by incorporating sustainability, resource management, and ecosystem valuation ideas into conventional economic models. Examining the function of natural resources in economic systems, the effects of environmental externalities, and the necessity of sustainable development, and we will explore the core ideas of nature economics.

Keywords: Natural Resources; Sustainability; Economy; Environment; Development

Science Insights, 2024 December 31; Vol. 45, No. 6, pp.1681-1695.

© 2024 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

Introduction

NATURE ECONOMICS is the examination of the ways in which environmental factors, including biodiversity, natural resources, and ecosystems, influence economic decisions and outcomes (Balmford et al., 2002). It entails the examination of the economic value of nature and the acknowledgment of the significance of preserving ecological equilibrium for long-term economic prosperity (Markandya, 2015). Nature Economics is a discipline that investigates the intersection of economics and nature, with a particular emphasis on the relationship between economic systems and the environment (Vermeij & Leigh, 2011). By comprehending the interdependence of economics and nature, we can devise strategies to safeguard our natural resources for future generations and advance sustainable development.

Nature economics is a developing discipline that aims to

comprehend the interactions between the economy and natural ecosystems (Pan, 2018; Wang, 2014). It acknowledges that the health of the economy is inextricably linked to the health of the environment, as human societies depend on ecosystems to supply essential resources such as pure air, water, and food (Common & Stagl, 2005). The objective of the discipline of nature economics is to establish a more sustainable and equitable economic system that prioritizes and safeguards natural resources by combining the principles of ecology and environmental science with conventional economic theory (Neugarten et al., 2024).

The concept of ecosystem services, which refers to the advantages that ecosystems offer to humanity, is a fundamental component of nature economics (Bastien-Olvera et al., 2023; Farley, 2012; Lazo, 2002). These services may encompass climate regulation, water filtration, and pollination. Nature eco-

nomics aims to make sure that these services are appropriately considered in economic decision-making by acknowledging their value (Barbier, 2013; Day & Hall, 2016). This can assist in the prevention of the overexploitation of natural resources and the degradation of ecosystems, which can ultimately have a detrimental impact on human well-being (Braat, 2013; Lazo, 2002).

The notion of natural capital, which denotes the stock of natural resources and ecosystems that provide ecosystem services, is another critical component of nature economics (Barbier, 2018). Natural capital can be depleted if it is not managed sustainably, similar to financial capital (Gupta, 2020; “Nurturing Natural Capital,” 2019). The objective of nature economics is to advocate for policies that safeguard and improve natural capital, thereby guaranteeing that future generations will have the necessary resources to flourish (Aronson et al., 2006; Mohamed et al., 2024; Neugarten et al., 2024). Societies can make more informed judgments about how to use and conserve their natural resources by valuing natural capital (Hanley, 2015).

The significance of acknowledging the interdependence of the economy and ecosystems is also underscored by nature economics (Johnson et al., 2023). Ecosystems can be significantly influenced by human activities, and the reverse is also true (Barbier, 2003; Bockstael et al., 2000). For instance, deforestation can result in soil erosion and the loss of biodiversity, which can subsequently affect water quality and cultivation (Aillery et al., 1996; McIntosh & Pontius, 2016). Nature economics can aid in the development of policies that support sustainable development and safeguard both the environment and the economy by comprehending these intricate relationships (Adhikari & Nadella, 2011; Knowler, 2004; Telles et al., 2013).

One of the obstacles in the field of nature economics is the integration of the value of ecosystem services into conventional economic models (Atkinson et al., 2012; Lazo, 2002; Turner et al., 1998). Quantifying the value of numerous ecosystem services in monetary terms can be challenging due to the fact that they are not purchased or sold in markets (Bockstael et al., 2000; Costanza et al., 1997; Sagoff, 2009). Nevertheless, there are new methods for valuing ecosystem services, such as the use of economic instruments like cost-benefit analysis and ecosystem valuation techniques (Braat, 2013; Tinch et al., 2019). By enhancing our comprehension of the economic value of nature, we can more effectively integrate it into decision-making processes and guarantee that the genuine costs and benefits of economic activities are considered.

Historical Perspective on the Relationship Between Nature and Economics

Throughout history, human societies have relied on nature for resources and economic activities (Balmford et al., 2002; Epstein et al., 2017). However, the industrial revolution and modernization have led to increased exploitation of natural resources, resulting in environmental degradation and biodiversity loss (Díaz et al., 2019). Understanding the historical relationship between nature and economics can help us learn from past mistakes and work towards a more sustainable future (Hou et al., 2018; Vermeij & Leigh, 2011).

For centuries, the relationship between the economy and

nature has been a subject of debate. It is crucial to examine the historical context of the relationship between nature and the economy in order to comprehend its current state (Spash, 2006).

The economy has been significantly influenced by nature throughout history. In the earliest civilizations, individuals were significantly dependent on nature for their survival (Chausson et al., 2024; Ciccantell & Smith, 2007; Ponting, 1990). They utilized the land to cultivate crops, pursued animals for sustenance, and utilized natural resources as building materials (Niroumand et al., 2013; Smyntyna, 2003). People recognized the significance of coexisting in harmony with nature in order to maintain their way of life, as the economy was inextricably linked to the natural world (Hughes, 1977; Neale, 2023; Somma, 2009).

The relationship between nature and the economy became more intricate as civilizations began to develop and expand (Guo, 2011; Yilin, 2013). The exploitation of natural resources became more prevalent with the rise of industrialization and globalization (Barbier, 2003; Barbier, 2005; Majeed et al., 2022). This resulted in the devastation of habitats and environmental degradation, which had adverse effects on both the economy and nature (Das, 2007; Offiong, 2016).

The Industrial Revolution of the 18th and 19th centuries was a significant turning point in the relationship between the economy and nature (Barrett, 1999; Burnete & Pilasluck, 2015). The mass production of products was facilitated by the rapid advancements in technology (Fremdling, 1996); however, this came at the expense of environmental degradation and increased pollution (Marasová et al., 2018). This period also witnessed the emergence of capitalism, which placed a high value on economic development and profit, frequently at the expense of the environment (Pérez, 2015).

Throughout the 20th century, there was an increasing recognition of the influence that human actions were having on the natural world (Lovins, 2001; Williams, 1998). This resulted in the establishment of environmental movements and regulations that were designed to safeguard the environment and encourage sustainable practices (Posłuszna, 2015). The relationship between the economy and nature began to change, with a greater emphasis on responsible resource management and conservation (Sisaye, 2012). The relationship between the economy and nature has become even more pronounced in recent years (Nahman et al., 2010; Wang, 2014; Weick, 2016; Stigson, 1999). The world is currently confronted with a number of urgent environmental concerns, including deforestation, climate change, and biodiversity loss (Neugarten et al., 2024; Salih, 2003). In order to safeguard the environment and guarantee the welfare of future generations, there is an increasing necessity for sustainable practices as the global economy expands (Arora et al., 2018; Imppol, 2020).

The concept of sustainable development has emerged as a critical framework for comprehending the interplay between the economy and nature. It underscores the importance of maintaining a balance between economic development, environmental conservation, and social equity. We can guarantee that the requirements of both people and the planet are met in a sustainable manner by adopting a holistic approach to development.

The Role of Natural Resources in Economic

Systems

All economic activities are predicated on natural resources (Barbier, 2003). They are raw materials that are utilized to manufacture products and services, and their absence would result in the cessation of economic activities (Ertimi et al., 2021; Saleh et al., 2020). For instance, the production of energy is contingent upon the availability of minerals such as oil and gas (Cooper, 1975; Coria & Sterner, 2010; Poudel, 2014), while the production of sustenance is dependent on agricultural resources such as water and land (Morgan, 1976; Schulz & Briskeby, 2003). Without these resources, economies would be unable to sustain themselves and expand (Bunker, 2005).

The comparative advantage of a nation in the global economy is also significantly influenced by the availability and accessibility of natural resources (Barbier, 2003; Cai & Leung, 2007; Guo, 2017). In certain industries, such as energy production and extraction, countries with an abundance of natural resources, such as oil, gas, and minerals, have a competitive advantage (Liu, 2022; Shine, 1996). This has the potential to result in economic growth, employment creation, and increased government revenues. Conversely, nations that are devoid of natural resources may encounter difficulties in certain sectors and may be obliged to import these resources, which can be expensive and have a detrimental impact on their economic competitiveness (Berry, 2008; Frankel, 2010; Gylfason & Zoëga, 2006; Reinman, 2015).

In addition to contributing to economic growth, natural resources also have a significant impact on the development of society and the environment (Coria & Sterner, 2010; Usman & Balsalobre - Lorente, 2022). For instance, the extraction and utilization of natural resources can result in substantial environmental consequences, including habitat devastation, water pollution, and deforestation (Aikins, 2014; Sibi, 2018). In order to guarantee the long-term well-being of society and the environment, it is imperative that nations responsibly manage their natural resources. This frequently necessitates the coordination of environmental conservation, social responsibility, and economic development (Salih, 2003).

The geopolitical landscape of the world is also significantly influenced by natural resources (Theodore, 2017). Countries that possess valuable resources such as oil and gas frequently exercise substantial influence within the international community (Barr & Sharp, 2006). This can result in geopolitical tensions, conflicts, and alliances as countries vie for access to these resources. Conflicts and wars have resulted from the control of natural resources in certain instances, such as the resource wars in the Middle East and Africa (Billon, 2001; "Dark Age: The Political Odyssey of Emperor Bokassa," 1997; Olanrewaju et al., 2020). To prevent conflicts and guarantee peace and stability, it is crucial that nations collaborate to manage and distribute natural resources in a fair and sustainable manner.

Relying on natural resources for economic development presents a challenge due to their finite and non-renewable nature (Lestari et al., 2020; Rudra & Jensen, 2011). Countries may encounter economic obstacles and environmental degradation as resources are exhausted (Ertimi et al., 2021). This is why it is essential for nations to diversify their economies and allocate resources to sustainable practices and renewable energy sources

(Dinçer, 2000; Ellabban et al., 2014; Panwar et al., 2013). Countries can guarantee the long-term prosperity of their citizens and safeguard the environment for future generations by transitioning to a more sustainable economic model (Huang & Chang, 2022; Wang et al., 2022).

In developing countries, poverty alleviation and economic development are also significantly influenced by natural resources (Barbier, 2019; Gylfason & Zoëga, 2006). Natural resources are the primary source of income and employment for millions of individuals in numerous low-income countries (Barbier, 2010). For instance, in nations such as India and Vietnam, substantial portions of the populace are employed in agriculture (Angelsen et al., 2014; Saint-Macary et al., 2012), while Zambia and the Democratic Republic of Congo generate substantial revenues from mining (Davis et al., 2009; Haggblade et al., 2010). Countries can foster economic growth, reduce poverty, and create employment opportunities by investing in the sustainable management and development of these resources (Lungu, 2008; Nyambe & Kawamya, 2005).

Nevertheless, the exploitation of natural resources can also result in adverse social consequences, including the displacement of communities, human rights violations, and corruption (Ezirigwe, 2017; Mancini & Sala, 2018). In numerous developing countries, the control and exploitation of natural resources by potent elites has resulted in conflict and inequality (Nkuepo, 2012; Smart, 2020). In order to advance social justice and equality, it is important that governments guarantee transparency, accountability, and inclusive decision-making in the administration of natural resources (Maconachie, 2016; Pullen, 2013).

Therefore, natural resources have a multifaceted impact on economic systems, influencing geopolitics, society, and environment of countries worldwide. They are crucial for the creation of jobs, economic growth, and prosperity; however, they also pose obstacles in the areas of environmental protection, social justice, and sustainability. In order to guarantee the long-term welfare of their citizens and the planet, it is critical that nations responsibly and inclusively manage their natural resources. Countries can establish a more resilient and prosperous future for all by investing in sustainable practices, renewable energy, and equitable resource distribution.

Natural Resource Depletion and Economic Consequences

The impact on the cost of production is one of the most immediate consequences of natural resource depletion (Lee, 1998; Ragheb et al., 2022). The prices of natural resources increase as they become scarcer, resulting in increased expenses for businesses that depend on them (Tilton, 2005). For instance, industries that depend on oil for their operations, such as transportation and manufacturing, are directly affected by the increasing cost of oil as a result of the depletion of oil reserves (Banbi, 1996; Sabour, 2003; Šmiech et al., 2020). This, in turn, results in higher prices for consumers, as businesses pass on the heightened costs to preserve their profit margins.

The loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services is an additional economic repercussion of natural resource depletion (Markandya, 2015; Pearce & Moran, 2013). Natural resources

are not only indispensable for economic activities, but they also play a critical role in the preservation of ecological equilibrium and the support of a variety of ecosystems (Balmford et al., 2002; Costanza et al., 1997). The extinction of species and the degradation of ecosystems can result from the overexploitation or depletion of resources, which in turn results in the loss of valuable services such as pollination, water purification, and climate regulation (Díaz et al., 2006; Hufnagel et al., 2018). This, in turn, can have significant economic repercussions, as ecosystem degradation can disrupt food production, increase the risk of natural disasters, and reduce the overall resilience of ecosystems to environmental stressors (Johnson et al., 2023; Palmer & Falco, 2012).

The economy may also be adversely affected by the depletion of natural resources in terms of long-term sustainability and economic growth (Rajapaksa et al., 2017). The exploitation of natural resources, including mining, forestry, and agriculture, is a substantial source of revenue and employment in numerous economies (Shah et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the overexploitation or depletion of these resources can result in a decrease in economic output and the loss of livelihoods for communities that rely on these industries (Dialga, 2017; Seidl, 1995; Veiga et al., 2001). Furthermore, the depletion of natural resources can impede future economic development and innovation by restricting the availability of resources required for industrial expansion and technological advancements (Babáúndé 2011; Kitula, 2005; Sam et al., 2024).

Social inequalities and conflicts within society can also be exacerbated by the depletion of natural resources (Kronenberg, 2004). As resources become limited, competition for access and control over these resources can intensify, resulting in conflicts between various groups or communities (Giordano et al., 2004; Koubi et al., 2013). This can lead to social unrest, population displacement, and violent conflicts, which can have severe economic repercussions, including the devastation of infrastructure, disruption of economic activities, and loss of investment (Fox & Beall, 2012; Patel & Burkle, 2012; Ware, 2005). In certain instances, resource depletion can also exacerbate political instability and governance challenges, as governments endeavor to address the grievances of marginalized communities and manage competing demands for limited resources (Alao & Olonisakin, 2000; Ross, 2014).

The global economy and international trade can also be significantly affected by the depletion of natural resources (Rudra & Jensen, 2011). The import and export of natural resources are essential for the support of economic activities and the fulfillment of domestic requirements in numerous countries (Bretschger & Valente, 2011; Ruta & Venables, 2012). Nevertheless, the competitiveness of industries that rely on these resources can be impacted, global supply chains can be disrupted, and trade imbalances can be exacerbated when resources become limited, or their prices increase due to depletion (Bell et al., 2013; Gulley et al., 2018; Nassar et al., 2020). This can result in trade disputes, protectionist policies, and market distortion, which can impede economic development and threaten the stability of the global economy.

The indirect economic consequences of natural resource depletion can be attributed to its impact on human health and

well-being (Anshasy & Katsaiti, 2015; Xu & Zhao, 2023). Clean water, clean air, and nutritious food are among the numerous natural resources that are indispensable for the survival and well-being of humans (Li et al., 2013; Majeed et al., 2022; Shabbir et al., 2020). Depletion or contamination of these resources can result in adverse health consequences, including malnutrition, air pollution-related ailments, and waterborne diseases (Ernst, 2006; Ratna, 2017; Tirado et al., 2010). These health impacts can have broader economic implications for society as a whole, as they can lead to increased healthcare costs, diminished productivity, and a lower quality of life for individuals and communities.

Environmental Externalities and Economic Impact

Environmental externalities are the unintended and frequently detrimental consequences of economic activities on the environment that are not accounted for in the price of products and services (Hirschberg, 2012). These externalities can have substantial economic repercussions, as they result in costs that are incurred by society as a whole, rather than by the individual or business responsible for the pollution or degradation of the environment (Corrigan & Shah, 2011; Johnson et al., 2023; Sarkar & Wolter, 1998).

Air pollution generated by factories and vehicles is among the most prevalent instances of environmental externalities (Schipper et al., 2001; Stężyły et al., 2009). The emission of pollutants, including carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides, can have a detrimental impact on human health and contribute to global warming (Manisalidis et al., 2020). The economic consequences of air pollution encompass the impact on the tourism and recreation industries in regions with poor air quality, healthcare expenses for treating respiratory illnesses, and lost productivity due to sickness (Brunekreef & Holgate, 2002; Hirschberg, 2012).

Another significant environmental externality that can have a detrimental economic impact is water pollution (Barwick et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2019; Lanzi et al., 2018). Water quality can be compromised, aquatic ecosystems can be harmed, and human health can be at risk due to contaminants like heavy metals, pesticides, and effluent (Brusseau et al., 2019). The economic costs of water pollution encompass the expenses associated with treating polluted water for potable and agricultural purposes, the depletion of revenue from fisheries and tourism in polluted water bodies, and the cost of cleaning up contaminated sites (De Lange et al., 2012; Easter & Konishi, 2006).

Deforestation and habitat devastation are additional examples of environmental externalities that have economic repercussions (Lakshminarayan et al., 1991). Soil erosion, biodiversity loss, and elevated greenhouse gas emissions may result from the devastation of forests for mining, agriculture, or urban development (Garrod & Willis, 2000; Juniah et al., 2017). Reduced agricultural productivity as a result of soil degradation, the loss of revenue from ecotourism and sustainable timber harvesting, and the loss of ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration and water filtration are among the economic costs of deforestation (May et al., 2013; Runyan & D'Odorico, 2016).

Perhaps the most pressing environmental externality of

our era, climate change has far-reaching economic repercussions (Pearce, 2001). The combustion of fossil fuels for energy production results in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, which in turn leads to an increase in global temperatures, sea levels, and the occurrence of more frequent extreme weather events (Armaroli & Balzani, 2011; Raimi, 2020). Adapting to changing climate conditions, such as the construction of drought-resistant infrastructure and seawalls, the loss of valuable coastal property due to sea-level rise and storm surges, and the impact on agriculture and food security are all included in the economic costs of climate change (Hsiang et al., 2017).

In addition to the adverse effects of environmental externalities, sustainable practices and policies that mitigate these externalities present opportunities for economic gain (Levitus et al., 2005; Neumann & Strzepek, 2014; Tol, 2009). The investment in renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, can result in the creation of employment in the clean energy sector and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (Sani, 2019; Sooriyaarachchi et al., 2015). The implementation of pollution control technologies in industries can result in improved air and water quality, which in turn leads to diminished healthcare costs and improved public health outcomes (Mujtaba & Shahzad, 2020; Tran et al., 2024).

In order to mitigate environmental externalities and guarantee that the expenses of pollution are borne by businesses and individuals, government intervention is frequently required (Pautrel, 2012). Pollution levies, cap-and-trade systems, and environmental regulations can help to encourage the adoption of cleaner technologies and practices, while also providing funding for environmental remediation efforts (Marcus et al., 2002; Montalvo Corral & Kemp, 2008). These policies can contribute to the promotion of sustainable development and the preservation of the environment for future generations by internalizing the costs of pollution.

Sustainable Development and Nature Economics

Sustainable development and nature economics are essential concepts in the contemporary world, as we confront the depletion of natural resources and the escalating environmental challenges (Salih, 2003; Schilling & Chiang, 2010). Sustainable development is the process of addressing the requirements of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Gupta, 2016; Unruh, 2007). Nature economics, in contrast, emphasizes the significance of safeguarding natural resources to ensure long-term economic prosperity and their economic value (Balmford et al., 2002; Vojnovic, 1995).

The concept of equilibrium is one of the fundamental principles of sustainable development (Barbier, 1987; Epstein et al., 2017; Munda, 1997). This entails the establishment of a harmonious equilibrium between environmental preservation, social advancement, and economic expansion (Bindzár et al., 2018). Nature economics is instrumental in attaining this equilibrium by emphasizing the significance of natural resources in fostering economic growth and social well-being (Aronson et al., 2006; Barbier, 2003; Pan, 2018). Policymakers and businesses

can make more informed decisions about resource management and conservation by acknowledging the economic value of nature (Hart, 1995).

Externalities, which are the unintended consequences of economic activities on the environment, are also emphasized in nature economics (Balmford et al., 2002; Braat, 2013; Lippke & Bishop, 1999). The purity of air and water, as well as human health, can be adversely affected by the pollution generated by industrial production (Jones-Walters & Mulder, 2009). Nature economics contributes to ensuring that the genuine cost of resource exploitation is considered by integrating the costs of these externalities into economic decision-making (Lanzi et al., 2018).

The concept of ecosystem services is another critical component of nature economics (Costanza et al., 1997). The advantages that humans derive from nature, including clean air, water, and sustenance, are referred to as ecosystem services (Lazo, 2002). Policymakers can make more informed decisions about how to manage and protect natural ecosystems by acknowledging the economic value of these services (Day & Hall, 2016). The preservation of wetlands can generate economic benefits through tourism and recreation, as well as provide habitat for wildlife and prevent inundation (Mitsch et al., 2015).

The significance of biodiversity is also underscored in the fields of sustainable development and nature economics (Kakuru et al., 2013; Woodward & Wui, 2001). The term "biodiversity" denotes the diversity of living organisms within an ecosystem, and it is essential for the preservation of ecological balance and the enhancement of ecosystem services (Al-Farabi, 2013). The long-term health and resilience of ecosystems, as well as the economic benefits they provide, can be guaranteed by conserving biodiversity (Bhat et al., 2020; Thomas, 1995).

The short-term focus of numerous economic decision-makers is one of the obstacles to the implementation of sustainable development and nature economics (Palmer & Falco, 2012; Pearce & Moran, 2013; Perrings, 2010). Businesses and policymakers frequently prioritize short-term profits over long-term sustainability, which results in the overexploitation of natural resources and the degradation of the environment (Bocken & Short, 2021). In order to confront this obstacle, nature economics advocates for the incorporation of environmental and social factors into economic decision-making, ensuring that the economic outcomes accurately reflect the true value of nature (Chausson et al., 2024; Damineva et al., 2019; The Economics of Biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review, 2024).

It is imperative to involve a diverse array of stakeholders, such as government agencies, businesses, NGOs, and local communities, in order to accomplish sustainable development and nature economics (Bateman et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2023). We can establish a more environmentally benign and resilient economy by collaborating to develop and implement policies that prioritize resource conservation and sustainable development (Han et al., 2024; Tseng et al., 2019). A sustainable future for all is contingent upon the collaboration of various sectors and stakeholders in order to effect enduring change.

In the final analysis, sustainable development and nature economics are interconnected concepts that are indispensable for confronting the environmental obstacles that our planet is cur-

rently encountering (Arora et al., 2018; Bindzár et al., 2018; Nieto, 1997). By acknowledging the economic value of nature and integrating it into decision-making processes, we can guarantee that natural resources are managed and conserved in a manner that is beneficial to both present and future generations. By adopting sustainable development and nature economics, we can establish a society that is more environmentally benign, resilient, and equitable for all.

The Future of Nature Economics

The necessity of integrating the value of ecosystem services into conventional economic models is a critical component of the future of nature economics (Costanza, 2014; Johnson et al., 2023). Clean air and water, pollination, and nutrient cycling are essential ecosystem services that contribute to economic prosperity and human well-being. Policymakers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the complete costs and benefits of different environmental actions by quantifying and integrating these services into economic decision-making (Balmford et al., 2002; Drupp et al., 2024).

The necessity of transitioning to more sustainable and regenerative practices in agriculture, energy production, and resource extraction is another critical factor in the future of nature economics (Daily & Matson, 2008; Dasgupta & Levin, 2023; Díaz et al., 2019). Environmental degradation and the loss of essential natural resources are frequently the result of traditional economic models that prioritize short-term gains over long-term sustainability (Farley & Voinov, 2016; Lampert, 2019). We can guarantee the health and vitality of our ecosystems for future generations by implementing a nature-based approach to economic development.

The development of ecological and circular economies is a promising avenue for the future of nature economics (Chami et al., 2022; Johnson et al., 2023; Stefanakis et al., 2021). Green economies prioritize the reduction of carbon emissions, the reduction of waste, and the promotion of renewable energy sources (Stefanakis et al., 2021; Sverdan, 2021). On the other hand, circular economies endeavor to reduce resource consumption and waste by recycling and repurposing materials in a closed-loop system (Heshmati, 2017; Wang et al., 2022; Patwa et al., 2020). By incorporating these principles into economic policy, we can establish a more resilient and sustainable economy that benefits both individuals and the environment.

The future of nature economics also has the potential to catalyze technological advancements and innovations that promote environmental conservation and restoration (Balmford et al., 2002; Chami et al., 2022; Heal, 2020). We can safeguard the natural world while generating new economic growth opportunities by investing in sustainable infrastructure and green technology (Chenoweth et al., 2018). In the years ahead, we can anticipate a rise in the level of collaboration among scientists, policymakers, and business leaders in order to create and exe-

cute innovative solutions to environmental challenges.

The necessity of addressing the inequities and injustices that frequently accompany environmental degradation is one of the main challenges that the future of nature economics will encounter (Allen & Malin, 2008; Aragón-Correa et al., 2007; York & Venkataraman, 2010). Communities that are already marginalized and disadvantaged are those that are most susceptible to the effects of climate change and environmental pollution (Singer, 2018; Thomas & Twyman, 2005). Prioritizing social justice and guaranteeing that all individuals have access to a pure and healthy environment are indispensable as we strive for a more sustainable and equitable future.

It will be imperative to establish partnerships and collaborations across sectors and disciplines in order to fully realize the potential of nature economics (Díaz et al., 2019; Hahn, 1993). We can promote a more sustainable future and generate positive change by utilizing the resources and expertise of a diverse range of stakeholders through collaboration (Blignaut & Aronson, 2019; Mayor et al., 2021). This will necessitate a dedication to establishing consensus around shared values and objectives, as well as effective leadership.

The future of nature economics will also be contingent upon the capacity to educate and engage the public regarding the significance of sustainable living and environmental conservation (Balmford et al., 2002; Chapin et al., 2022; Durán et al., 2023). By increasing awareness and fostering environmental literacy, we can enable individuals to make informed decisions that are advantageous to both them and the environment. By means of education and outreach initiatives, we can motivate a new generation of leaders who are dedicated to the establishment of a more sustainable and equitable world.

The future of nature economics will be determined by our willingness to prioritize the well-being of the planet and its inhabitants over short-term economic gains. A more resilient, equitable, and sustainable future for all can be achieved by adopting a holistic and interconnected approach to economic development (Hickel et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2023; Morrissey & Heidkamp, 2022). It is evident that nature economics will be instrumental in determining the future of our planet and guaranteeing a prosperous and healthy future for future generations as we look to the future.

Conclusion

Nature economics offers a holistic approach to economic decision-making that prioritizes the long-term health of our planet and its resources. By considering the true value of nature in economic calculations and policy frameworks, we can pave the way for a more sustainable and balanced future. As we navigate the complexities of a rapidly changing world, integrating nature economics principles will be essential in shaping a prosperous and resilient society for generations to come. ■

References

- Adhikari, B., & Nadella, K. (2011). Ecological economics of soil erosion: a review of the current state of knowledge. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1219(1), 134–152. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05910.x>
- Aikins, E. K. (2014). The relationship between sustainable development and resource use from a geographic perspective. *Natural Resources Forum*, 38(4), 261–269. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12059>
- Aillery, M., Hrubovcak, J., Kramer-LeBlanc, C., Shoemaker, R., & Tegene, A. (1996). Agriculture in an Ecosystems Framework. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 25(2), 101–117. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1068280500007759>
- Alao, A., & Olonisakin, F. (2000). Economic fragility and political fluidity: Explaining natural resources and conflicts. *International Peacekeeping*, 7(4), 23–36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533310008413861>
- Al-Farabi, J. W. (2013). Biodiversity conservation needs and method to conserve the biological diversity. *Journal of Biodiversity & Endangered Species*, 1(3), 1000113. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4172/2332-2543.1000113>
- Allen, J. C., & Malin, S. (2008). Green Entrepreneurship: A Method for Managing Natural Resources? *Society & Natural Resources*, 21(9), 828–844. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920701612917>
- Angelsen, A., Jagger, P., Babigumira, R., Belcher, B., Hogarth, N. J., Bauch, S., Börner, J., Smith-Hall, C., & Wunder, S. (2014). Environmental Income and Rural Livelihoods: A Global-Comparative Analysis. *World Development*, 64, S12–S28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.03.006>
- Anshasy, A. a. E., & Katsaiti, M. (2015). Are natural resources bad for health? *Health & Place*, 32, 29–42. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2014.12.011>
- Aragón-Correa, J. A., Hurtado-Torres, N., Sharma, S., & García-Morales, V. J. (2007). Environmental strategy and performance in small firms: A resource-based perspective. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 86(1), 88–103. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.11.022>
- Armaroli, N., & Balzani, V. (2011). The Legacy of Fossil Fuels. *Chemistry - an Asian Journal*, 6(3), 768–784. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201000797>
- Aronson, J., Clewell, A. F., Blignaut, J. N., & Milton, S. J. (2006). Ecological restoration: A new frontier for nature conservation and economics. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 14(3–4), 135–139. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2006.05.005>
- Aronson, J., Milton, S., & Blignaut, J. (2006). Conceiving the Science, Business, and Practice of Restoring Natural Capital. *Ecological Restoration*, North America, 24(1), 22–24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3368/er.24.1.22>
- Arora, N. K., Fatima, T., Mishra, I., Verma, M., Mishra, J., & Mishra, V. (2018). Environmental sustainability: challenges and viable solutions. *Environmental Sustainability*, 1(4), 309–340. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-018-00038-w>
- Atkinson, G., Bateman, I., & Mourato, S. (2012). Recent advances in the valuation of ecosystem services and biodiversity. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 28(1), 22–47. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grs007>
- Babatunde, A. O. (2011). Oil Exploitation, Local Economy and Conflict in the Oil-Bearing Areas of Nigeria's Niger Delta. *All Days*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2118/150789-ms>
- Balmford, A., Bruner, A., Cooper, P., Costanza, R., Farber, S., Green, R. E., Jenkins, M., Jefferiss, P., Jessamy, V., Madden, J., Munro, K., Myers, N., Naeem, S., Paavola, J., Rayment, M., Rosendo, S., Roughgarden, J., Trumper, K., & Turner, R. K. (2002). Economic Reasons for Conserving Wild Nature. *Science*, 297(5583), 950–953. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073947>
- Banbi, H. E. (1996). Impact of uncertain oil prices on investment and integration efforts in the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 48(2). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2118/31171-ms>
- Barbier, E. B. (1987). The Concept of Sustainable Economic Development. *Environmental Conservation*, 14(2), 101–110. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0376892900011449>
- Barbier, E. B. (2003). The role of natural resources in economic development. *Australian Economic Papers*, 42(2), 253–272. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8454.00198>
- Barbier, E. B. (2005). Natural resource-based economic development in history. In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (pp. 51–107). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511754036.003>
- Barbier, E. B. (2010). Poverty, development, and environment. *Environment and Development Economics*, 15(6), 635–660. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1355770x1000032x>
- Barbier, E. B. (2013). Economics of the Regulating Services. In *Elsevier eBooks* (pp. 45–54). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-384719-5.00183-0>
- Barbier, E. B. (2018). The concept of natural capital. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 35(1), 14–36. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/gry028>
- Barbier, E. B. (2019). Natural Resources and Developing Countries: An Overview. In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (pp. 11–48). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316875681.002>
- Barr, G. D. I., & Sharp, L. J. (2006). The Economics of Democracy in Resource-Producing Countries. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics*, 30(1), 41–58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10800379.2006.12106399>
- Barrett, B. F. D. (1999). Environmentalism in periods of rapid societal transformation: the legacy of the industrial revolution in the United Kingdom and the Meiji Restoration in Japan. *Sustainable Development*, 7, 178–190. Available at: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1719\(199911\)7:4%3C178::AID-SD113%3E3.0.CO;2-L](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1719(199911)7:4%3C178::AID-SD113%3E3.0.CO;2-L)
- Barwick, P. J., Li, S., Rao, D., & Zahur, N. B. (2018). The Healthcare Cost of Air Pollution: Evidence from the World's Largest Payment Network. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3386/w24688>
- Bastien-Olvera, B. A., Conte, M. N., Dong, X., Briceno, T., Batker, D., Emmerling, J., Tavoni, M., Granella, F., & Moore, F. C. (2023). Unequal climate impacts on

- global values of natural capital. *Nature*, 625(7996), 722–727. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06769-z>
- Bateman, I. J., Harwood, A. R., Abson, D. J., Andrews, B., Crowe, A., Dugdale, S., Fezzi, C., Foden, J., Hadley, D., Haines-Young, R., Hulme, M., Kontoleon, A., Munday, P., Pascual, U., Paterson, J., Perino, G., Sen, A., Siriwardena, G., & Termansen, M. (2013). Economic Analysis for the UK National Ecosystem Assessment: Synthesis and Scenario Valuation of Changes in Ecosystem Services. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 57(2), 273c297. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-013-9662-y>
- Bell, J. E., Mollenkopf, D. A., & Stolze, H. J. (2013). Natural resource scarcity and the closed-loop supply chain: a resource-advantage view. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 43(5/6), 351–379. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpdlm-03-2012-0092>
- Berry, A. (2008). Growth, employment and distribution impacts of minerals dependency: Four case studies. *South African Journal of Economics*, 76(s2). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1813-6982.2008.00186.x>
- Bhat, J. A., Kumar, M., Negi, A., Todaria, N., Malik, Z. A., Pala, N. A., Kumar, A., & Shukla, G. (2020). Species diversity of woody vegetation along altitudinal gradient of the Western Himalayas. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 24, e01302. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01302>
- Billon, P. L. (2001). The political ecology of war: natural resources and armed conflicts. *Political Geography*, 20(5), 561–584. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-6298\(01\)00015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-6298(01)00015-4)
- Bindzár, P., Sang, S., Gasanov, E., & Aliyarov, R. (2018). Sustainable Development as a Global Trend and an Economic Priority for the Nation. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 41, 04033. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20184104033>
- Blignaut, J., & Aronson, J. (2019). Developing a restoration narrative: A pathway towards system-wide healing and a restorative culture. *Ecological Economics*, 168, 106483. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106483>
- Bocken, N. M., & Short, S. W. (2021). Unsustainable business models – Recognising and resolving institutionalised social and environmental harm. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 312, 127828. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127828>
- Bockstael, N. E., Freeman, A. M., Kopp, R. J., Portney, P. R., & Smith, V. K. (2000). On Measuring Economic Values for Nature. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 34(8), 1384–1389. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/es990673l>
- Braat, L. C. (2013). The Value of the Ecosystem Services Concept in Economic and Biodiversity Policy. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 97–103). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-419964-4.00010-x>
- Bretschger, L., & Valente, S. (2011). International economics and natural resources: from theory to policy. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 8(2), 115–120. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-011-0195-y>
- Brunekreef, B., & Holgate, S. T. (2002). Air pollution and health. *The Lancet*, 360(9341), 1233–1242. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(02\)11274-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11274-8)
- Brusseau, M., Ramirez-Andreotta, M., Pepper, I., & Maximillian, J. (2019). Environmental Impacts on Human Health and Well-Being. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 477–499). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-814719-1.00026-4>
- Bunker, S. G. (2005). The Poverty of Resource Extraction. In *Research in rural sociology and development* (pp. 211–226). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1057-1922\(05\)11008-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1057-1922(05)11008-7)
- Burnete, S., & Pilasluck, C. (2015). Trade And Environment: A Historical Perspective. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 10(2), 17–31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/sbe-2015-0017>
- Cai, J., & Leung, P. (2007). A review of comparative advantage assessment approaches in relation to aquaculture development. In *Species and System Selection for Sustainable Aquaculture* (pp. 43–56). Wiley. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470277867.ch4>
- Chami, R., Cosimano, T., Fullenkamp, C., & Nieburg, D. (2022). Toward a Nature-Based Economy. *Frontiers in Climate*, 4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.855803>
- Chapin, F. S., Weber, E. U., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Van Den Bergh, J., Adger, W. N., Crépin, A., Polasky, S., Folke, C., Scheffer, M., Segerson, K., Anderies, J. M., Barrett, S., Cardenas, J., Carpenter, S. R., Fischer, J., Kautsky, N., Levin, S. A., Shogren, J. F., . . . De Zeeuw, A. (2022). Earth stewardship: Shaping a sustainable future through interacting policy and norm shifts. *AMBIO*, 51(9), 1907–1920. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-022-01721-3>
- Chausson, A., Seddon, N., Smith, A., Reger, R. Z., O'Callaghan, B., Zapata, F., & Mori-Clement, Y. (2024). Harnessing Nature-based Solutions for Economic Recovery: A Systematic review. *PLoS Climate*, In press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31223/x5p701>
- Chenoweth, J., Anderson, A. R., Kumar, P., Hunt, W., Chimbwandira, S. J., & Moore, T. L. (2018). The interrelationship of green infrastructure and natural capital. *Land Use Policy*, 75, 137–144. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.03.021>
- Ciccantell, P. S., & Smith, D. A. (2007). Nature, Raw Materials, and Political Economy: An Introduction. In *Research in rural sociology and development* (pp. 1–20). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1057-1922\(05\)10001-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1057-1922(05)10001-8)
- Common, M., & Stagl, S. (2005). The economy in the environment – a conceptual framework. In Cambridge University Press eBooks (pp. 86–122). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511805547.007>
- Cooper, R. (1975). Natural resources and national security. *Resources Policy*, 1(4), 192–203. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-4207\(75\)90099-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-4207(75)90099-9)
- Coria, J., & Sterner, T. (2010). Natural Resource Management: Challenges and Policy Options. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 3(1), 203–230. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-083110-120131>
- Corrigan, K., & Shah, A. J. (2011). Quantifying Equilibria Shifts due to Externalities. ASME 2011 5th International Conference on Energy Sustainability, Parts a, B, and C. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1115/es2011-54828>
- Costanza, R. (2014). Foreword: The importance of valu-

- ing ecosystem services. In Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781955161.00008>
- Costanza, R., D'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R. V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R. G., Sutton, P., & Van Den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630), 253–260. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/387253a0>
- Daily, G. C., & Matson, P. A. (2008). Ecosystem services: From theory to implementation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(28), 9455–9456. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804960105>
- Damineva, R., Shulaev, N., Pryanichnikova, V., Kadyrov, R., & Bykovsky, N. (2019). Economic assessment of the effects of pollution of land resources. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 69, 00145. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20196900145>
- Dark age: the political odyssey of Emperor Bokassa. (1997). *Choice Reviews Online*, 35(2), 35–1049. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.35-1049>
- Das, P. (2007). Environmental Degradation: Unearthing the Past for Future Longevity. *Strategic Analysis*, 31(2), 401–413. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09700160701391258>
- Dasgupta, P., & Levin, S. (2023). Economic factors underlying biodiversity loss. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, 378(1881), 20220197. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0197>
- Davis, B., Winters, P., Carletto, G., Covarrubias, K., Quiñones, E. J., Zezza, A., Stamoulis, K., Azzarri, C., & DiGiuseppe, S. (2009). A Cross-Country Comparison of Rural Income Generating Activities. *World Development*, 38(1), 48–63. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.01.003>
- Day, J. W., & Hall, C. (2016). The Wealth of Nature Is the Wealth of Nations: Ecosystem Services and Their Value to Society. In *Springer eBooks* (pp. 115–135). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3243-6_5
- De Lange, W., Mahumani, B., Steyn, M., & Oelofse, S. (2012). Monetary valuation of salinity impacts and microbial pollution in the Olifants Water Management Area, South Africa. *Water SA*, 38(2). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v38i2.9>
- Dialga, I. (2017). A Sustainability Index of Mining Countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 179, 278–291. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.185>
- Díaz, S., Fargione, J., Chapin, F. S., & Tilman, D. (2006). Biodiversity Loss Threatens Human Well-Being. *PLoS Biology*, 4(8), e277. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040277>
- Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondízio, E. S., Ngo, H. T., Agard, J., Arnette, A., Balvanera, P., Brauman, K. A., Butchart, S. H. M., Chan, K. M. A., Garibaldi, L. A., Ichii, K., Liu, J., Subramanian, S. M., Midgley, G. F., Miloslavich, P., Molnár, Z., Obura, D., Pfaff, A., . . . Zayas, C. N. (2019). Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science*, 366(6471). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3100>
- Dinçer, I. (2000). Renewable energy and sustainable development: a crucial review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 4(2), 157–175. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1364-0321\(99\)00011-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1364-0321(99)00011-8)
- Dong, D., Xu, X., Yu, H., & Zhao, Y. (2019). The Impact of Air Pollution on Domestic Tourism in China: A Spatial Econometric Analysis. *Sustainability*, 11(15), 4148. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154148>
- Drupp, M. A., Hänsel, M. C., Fenichel, E. P., Freeman, M., Gollier, C., Groom, B., Heal, G. M., Howard, P. H., Millner, A., Moore, F. C., Nesje, F., Quaas, M. F., Smulders, S., Sterner, T., Traeger, C., & Venmans, F. (2024). Accounting for the increasing benefits from scarce ecosystems. *Science*, 383(6687), 1062–1064. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adk2086>
- Durán, A. P., Kuiper, J. J., Aguiar, A. P. D., Cheung, W. W. L., Diaw, M. C., Halouani, G., Hashimoto, S., Gasalla, M. A., Peterson, G. D., Schoolenberg, M. A., Abbasov, R., Acosta, L. A., Armenteras, D., Davila, F., Denboba, M. A., Harrison, P. A., Harhash, K. A., Karlsson-Vinkhuyzen, S., Kim, H., . . . Pereira, L. M. (2023). Bringing the Nature Futures Framework to life: creating a set of illustrative narratives of nature futures. *Sustainability Science*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01316-1>
- Easter, K. W., & Konishi, Y. (2006). What Are the Economic Health Costs of Non-action in Controlling Toxic Water Pollution? *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 22(4), 529–541. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07900620600799978>
- Ellabban, O., Abu-Rub, H., & Blaabjerg, F. (2014). Renewable energy resources: Current status, future prospects and their enabling technology. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 39, 748–764. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.113>
- Epstein, M. J., Buhovac, A. R., Elkington, J., & Leonard, H. B. (2017). Making Sustainability Work. In *Routledge eBooks*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351276443>
- Ernst, W. G. (2006). Earth Materials and Human Health. *International Geology Review*, 48(3), 191–208. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2747/0020-6814.48.3.191>
- Ertimi, B., Sarmidi, T., Khalid, N., & Ali, M. H. (2021). The Policy Framework of Natural Resource Management in Oil-Dependence Countries. *Economies*, 9(1), 25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies9010025>
- Ezirigwe, J. (2017). Human rights and property rights in natural resources development. *Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law*, 35(2), 201–213. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02646811.2017.1298697>
- Farley, J. (2012). Ecosystem services: The economics debate. *Ecosystem Services*, 1(1), 40–49. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.07.002>
- Farley, J., & Voinov, A. (2016). Economics, socio-ecological resilience and ecosystem services. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 183, 389–398. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.065>
- Fox, S., & Beall, J. (2012). Mitigating Conflict and Violence in African Cities. *Environment and Planning C Government and Policy*, 30(6), 968–981. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1068/c11333j>
- Frankel, J. (2010). The Natural Resource Curse: A Survey. In *NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES* (No. 15836). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3386/w15836>
- Fremdling, R. (1996). Industrial Revolution and Scientific and Technological Progress. Available at: <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:gro:rugggd:199630>
- Garrod, G. D., & Willis, K. G. (2000). Economic ap-

- proaches to valuing the environmental costs and benefits of mineral and aggregate extraction. *Raw Materials Report/Minerals & Energy/Minerals & Energy*, 15(4), 12–20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14041040009362569>
- Giordano, M. F., Giordano, M. A., & Wolf, A. T. (2004). International Resource Conflict and Mitigation. *Journal of Peace Research*, 42(1), 47–65. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343305049666>
- Gulley, A. L., Nassar, N. T., & Xun, S. (2018). China, the United States, and competition for resources that enable emerging technologies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(16), 4111–4115. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717152115>
- Guo, J. (2011). A Study on the Strategies of Eco-civilization Construction. *Journal of Social and Development Sciences*, 2(3), 147–152. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22610/jsds.v2i3.664>
- Guo, R. (2017). Globalization, Natural Resources and Borders. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 23–49). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-64002-4.00002-7>
- Gupta, G. S. (2016). The Paradox of Sustainable Development: A Critical Overview of the Term and the Institutionalization Process. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 25(1), 1–7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3311/ppso.8919>
- Gupta, S. S. (2020). Maintaining Natural Capital Stocks: An Insight into Traditional and Modern Approaches. *Current World Environment*, 335–345. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12944/cwe.15.2.21>
- Gylfason, T., & Zoëga, G. (2006). Natural Resources and Economic Growth: The Role of Investment. *World Economy*, 29(8), 1091–1115. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9701.2006.00807.x>
- Haggblade, S., Hazell, P., & Reardon, T. (2010). The Rural Non-farm Economy: Prospects for Growth and Poverty Reduction. *World Development*, 38(10), 1429–1441. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.06.008>
- Hahn, R. W. (1993). Getting more environmental protection for less money: A practitioner's guide. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 9(4), 112–123. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/9.4.112>
- Han, S., Li, C., Li, M., Lenzen, M., Chen, X., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Yin, T., Li, Y., Li, J., Liu, J., & Li, Y. (2024). Prospects for global sustainable development through integrating the environmental impacts of economic activities. *Nature Communications*, 15(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-52854-w>
- Hanley, N. (2015). Environmental economics: Pricing the planet. *Nature*, 520(7548), 434–435. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/520434a>
- Hart, S. L. (1995). A Natural-Resource-Based View of the Firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986–1014. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9512280033>
- Heal, G. M. (2020). The Economic Case for Protecting Biodiversity. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3714455>
- Heshmati, A. (2017). A review of the circular economy and its implementation. *International Journal of Green Economics*, 11(3/4), 251. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijge.2017.089856>
- Hickel, J., Brockway, P., Kallis, G., Keyßer, L., Lenzen, M., Slameršak, A., Steinberger, J., & Ürges-Vorsatz, D. (2021). Urgent need for post-growth climate mitigation scenarios. *Nature Energy*, 6(8), 766–768. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00884-9>
- Hirschberg, S. (2012). Externalities in the Global Energy System. In *Environment & policy* (pp. 121–138). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4162-1_10
- Hou, X., Liu, J., & Zhang, D. (2018). Regional sustainable development: The relationship between natural capital utilization and economic development. *Sustainable Development*, 27(1), 183–195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1915>
- Hsiang, S., Kopp, R., Jina, A., Rising, J., Delgado, M., Mohan, S., Rasmussen, D. J., Muir-Wood, R., Wilson, P., Oppenheimer, M., Larsen, K., & Houser, T. (2017). Estimating economic damage from climate change in the United States. *Science*, 356(6345), 1362–1369. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4369>
- Huang, X., & Lei, C. (2022). Covid-19 impact on financial growth and guidelines for green recovery in BRICS: fresh insights from econometric analysis. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 56(2), 1243–1261. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-022-09460-x>
- Hufnagel, L., Mics, F., & Homoródi, R. (2018). Introductory Chapter: Evaluation Methods of Ecosystem Services and Their Scientific and Societal Importance in Service of Solving the Global Problems of the Humankind. In *InTech eBooks*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.80227>
- Hughes, J. D. (1977). Forest Indians: The Holy Occupation. *Environmental Review*, 1(2), 2–13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3984362>
- Impola, J. J. (2020). Global economy and its sustainability in the globalized world. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 74, 04008. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20207404008>
- Johnson, J. A., Baldos, U. L., Corong, E., Hertel, T., Polasky, S., Cervigni, R., Roxburgh, T., Ruta, G., Salemi, C., & Thakrar, S. (2023). Investing in nature can improve equity and economic returns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(27). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2220401120>
- Jones-Walters, L., & Mulder, I. (2009). Valuing nature: The economics of biodiversity. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 17(4), 245–247. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2009.06.001>
- Juniah, R., Dalimi, R., Suparmoko, M., Moersidik, S. S., & Waristian, H. (2017). Environmental value losses as impacts of natural resources utilization of in coal open mining. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 101, 04013. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201710104013>
- Kakuru, W., Turyahabwe, N., & Mugisha, J. (2013). Total Economic Value of Wetlands Products and Services in Uganda. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/192656>
- Kitula, A. (2005). The environmental and socio-economic impacts of mining on local livelihoods in Tanzania: A case study of Geita District. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(3–4), 405–414. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.01.012>
- Knowler, D. J. (2004). The economics of soil productivity: local, national and global perspectives. *Land Degradation and Development*, 15(6), 543–561. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.635>
- Koubi, V., Spilker, G., Böhmelt, T., & Bernauer, T. (2013). Do natural resources matter for interstate and intra-

- state armed conflict? *Journal of Peace Research*, 51(2), 227–243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343313493455>
- Kronenberg, T. (2004). The curse of natural resources in the transition economies*. *Economics of Transition*, 12(3), 399–426. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0967-0750.2004.00187.x>
- Lakshminarayan, P., Atwood, J. D., Johnson, S. R., & Sposito, V. A. (1991). Compromise solution for economic-environmental decisions in agriculture. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 33(1), 51–64. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-4797\(05\)80047-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-4797(05)80047-7)
- Lampert, A. (2019). Over-exploitation of natural resources is followed by inevitable declines in economic growth and discount rate. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1419. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09246-2>
- Lanzi, E., Dellink, R., & Chateau, J. (2018). The sectoral and regional economic consequences of outdoor air pollution to 2060. *Energy Economics*, 71, 89–113. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.01.014>
- Lazo, J. K. (2002). Economic valuation of ecosystem services: Discussion and application. *Drug and Chemical Toxicology*, 25(4), 349–374. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1081/dct-120014788>
- Lee, C. (1998). Formulation of resource depletion index. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 24(3–4), 285–298. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-3449\(98\)00046-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-3449(98)00046-9)
- Lestari, S., Winarno, B., & Premono, B. T. (2020). Increasing stakeholder engagement for sustainable natural resource management in Southern Sumatra, Indonesia. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 153, 03010. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202015303010>
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J., & Boyer, T. (2005). Warming of the world ocean, 1955–2003. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(2), L02604. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004gl021592>
- Li, P., Fan, C., Chen, D., & Peng, C. (2013). Sustainability analysis of SEEA indicators for non-renewable resources. *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment*, 11(2), 97–108. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10042857.2013.777201>
- Lippke, B. R., & Bishop, J. T. (1999). The economic perspective. In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (pp. 597–638). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511613029.020>
- Liu, H. (2022). Measuring the macroeconomic determinants of agricultural price volatility: Implications for natural resource commodity prices for green recovery. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 1035432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1035432>
- Lovins, L. (2001). Natural Capitalism: Path to Sustainability? *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, 8(2), 99–108. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1066-7938\(01\)00075-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1066-7938(01)00075-6)
- Lungu, J. (2008). Socio-economic change and natural resource exploitation: a case study of the Zambian copper mining industry. *Development Southern Africa*, 25(5), 543–560. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03768350802447719>
- Maconachie, R. (2016). The extractive industries, mineral sector reform and post-conflict reconstruction in developing countries. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 3(2), 313–315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2016.03.004>
- Majeed, A., Ye, C., Chenyun, Y., Wei, X., & Muniba, N. (2022). Roles of natural resources, globalization, and technological innovations in mitigation of environmental degradation in BRI economies. *PLoS ONE*, 17(6), e0265755. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0265755>
- Mancini, L., & Sala, S. (2018). Social impact assessment in the mining sector: Review and comparison of indicators frameworks. *Resources Policy*, 57, 98–111. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2018.02.002>
- Manisalidis, I., Stavropoulou, E., Stavropoulos, A., & Bezirtzoglou, E. (2020). Environmental and Health Impacts of Air Pollution: A Review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00014>
- Marasová, D., Ligatto, M., Cassati, D., & Zolotukhin, V. (2018). On the Methodological Unity of Post-Industrial and Sustainable Development as the Environment Preservation Imperative. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 41, 04021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20184104021>
- Marcus, A. A., Geffen, D. A., & Sexton, K. (2002). Business–Government Cooperation in Environmental Decision-Making. *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, 9(4), 345–355. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1066-7938\(02\)00110-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1066-7938(02)00110-0)
- Markandya, A. (2015). The Economic Feedbacks of Loss of Biodiversity and Ecosystems Services. *OECD Environment Working Papers*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/5jrqqv610fg6-en>
- May, P. H., Soares-Filho, B. S., & Strand, J. (2013). How Much is the Amazon Worth? The State of Knowledge concerning the Value of Preserving Amazon Rainforests. In *World Bank policy research working paper*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6668>
- Mayor, B., Toxopeus, H., McQuaid, S., Croci, E., Lucchitta, B., Reddy, S. E., Egusquiza, A., Altamirano, M. A., Trumbic, T., Tuerk, A., García, G., Feliu, E., Malandrino, C., Schante, J., Jensen, A., & Gunn, E. L. (2021). State of the Art and Latest Advances in Exploring Business Models for Nature-Based Solutions. *Sustainability*, 13(13), 7413. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137413>
- McIntosh, A., & Pontius, J. (2016). Human Impacts on the Global Landscape. In *Elsevier eBooks* (pp. 361–470). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-801712-8.00004-4>
- Mitsch, W. J., Bernal, B., & Hernandez, M. E. (2015). Ecosystem services of wetlands. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science Ecosystems Services & Management*, 11(1), 1–4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21513732.2015.1006250>
- Mohamed, A., DeClerck, F., Verburg, P. H., Obura, D., Abrams, J. F., Zafra-Calvo, N., Rocha, J., Estrada-Carmona, N., Fremier, A., Jones, S. K., Meier, I. C., & Stewart-Koster, B. (2024). Securing Nature's Contributions to People requires at least 20%–25% (semi-)natural habitat in human-modified landscapes. *One Earth*, 7(1), 59–71. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.12.008>
- Montalvo Corral, C., & Kemp, R. (2008). Cleaner technology diffusion: Case studies, modeling and policy. Editorial. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(Suppl.

- 1), S1–S6. Available at:
<https://repository.tno.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A9b333dd6-f173-4d4b-95ef-b09954ea8583>
- Morgan, J. D. (1976). The world supply/demand outlook for minerals. AIP Conference Proceedings. DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.30801>
- Morrissey, J., & Heidkamp, P. (2022). Sustainability after COVID-19: pillars for a just transition. *Environmental Sustainability*, 5(2), 261–269. DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-022-00231-y>
- Mujtaba, G., & Shahzad, S. J. H. (2020). Air pollutants, economic growth and public health: implications for sustainable development in OECD countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(10), 12686–12698. DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11212-1>
- Munda, G. (1997). Environmental Economics, Ecological Economics, and the Concept of Sustainable Development. *Environmental Values*, 6(2), 213–233. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3197/096327197776679158>
- Nahman, A., Wise, R., & De Lange, W. (2010). Environmental and resource economics in South Africa: Status quo and lessons for developing countries. *South African Journal of Science*, 105(9/10). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajs.v105i9/10.108>
- Nassar, N. T., Brainard, J., Gulley, A., Manley, R., Matos, G., Lederer, G., Bird, L. R., Pineault, D., Alonso, E., Gambogi, J., & Fortier, S. M. (2020). Evaluating the mineral commodity supply risk of the U.S. manufacturing sector. *Science Advances*, 6(8). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay8647>
- Neale, W. C. (1973). Primitive Affluence: Stone Age Economics. Marshall Sahlins. Aldine-Atherton, Chicago, 1972. xiv, 348 pp., illus. \$8.95. *Science*, 179(4071), 372–373. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.179.4071.372>
- Neugarten, R. A., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Sharp, R. P., Schuster, R., Strimas-Mackey, M., Roehrdanz, P. R., Mulligan, M., Van Soesbergen, A., Hole, D., Kennedy, C. M., Oakleaf, J. R., Johnson, J. A., Kiesecker, J., Polasky, S., Hanson, J. O., & Rodewald, A. D. (2024). Mapping the planet's critical areas for biodiversity and nature's contributions to people. *Nature Communications*, 15(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43832-9>
- Neumann, J. E., & Strzepek, K. (2014). State of the literature on the economic impacts of climate change in the United States. *Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis*, 5(03), 411–443. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/jbca-2014-9003>
- Nieto, C. C. (1997). Toward a Holistic Approach to the Ideal of Sustainability. *Society for Philosophy and Technology Quarterly Electronic Journal*, 2(2), 79–83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5840/techne19972227>
- Niroumand, H., Zain, M., Jamil, M., & Niroumand, S. (2013). Earth Architecture from Ancient until Today. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 89, 222–225. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.08.838>
- Nkuepo, H. J. (2012). Natural Resources, Intergenerational Injustice, Environmental Degradation, Water Pollution and the Right to Healthcare. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2012920>
- Nurturing natural capital. (2019). *Food Science and Technology*, 33(1), 38–42. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/fsat.3301_11.x
- Nyambe, I. A., & Kawamya, V. M. (2005). Approaches to sustainable minerals development in Zambia. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, 250(1), 73–86. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.2005.250.01.08>
- Offiong, E. E. (2016). Environmental Degradation and Conservation in the Cross River Area: A historical appraisal of colonial and post colonial interventions. *PEOPLE International Journal of Social Sciences*, 2(1), 607–621. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20319/pijss.2016.s21.607621>
- Olanrewaju, F. O., Joshua, S., & Olanrewaju, A. (2020). Natural Resources, Conflict and Security Challenges in Africa. *India Quarterly a Journal of International Affairs*, 76(4), 552–568. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0974928420961742>
- Palmer, C., & Di Falco, S. (2012). Biodiversity, poverty, and development. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 28(1), 48–68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grs008>
- Pan, J. (2018). Analysis of the Value System Concerning Nature's Role in Distribution. *Chinese Journal of Urban and Environmental Studies*, 06(01), 1850001. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/s234574811850001x>
- Panwar, N., Reddy, V. S., Ranjan, K. R., Seepana, M. M., & Totlani, P. (2013). Sustainable development with renewable energy resources: a review. *World Review of Science Technology and Sustainable Development*, 10(4), 163. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1504/wrstsd.2013.057679>
- Patel, R. B., & Burkle, F. M. (2012). Rapid Urbanization and the Growing Threat of Violence and Conflict: A 21st Century Crisis. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 27(2), 194–197. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049023x12000568>
- Patwa, N., Sivarajah, U., Seetharaman, A., Sarkar, S., Maiti, K., & Hingorani, K. (2020). Towards a circular economy: An emerging economies context. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 725–735. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.015>
- Pautrel, X. (2012). Pollution, Private Investment in Healthcare, and Environmental Policy*. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 114(2), 334–357. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9442.2012.01696.x>
- Pearce, D., & Moran, D. (2013). The Economic Value of Biodiversity. In *Routledge eBooks*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315070476>
- Pearce, D. W. (2001). The Economic Value of Forest Ecosystems. *Ecosystem Health*, 7(4), 284–296. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1526-0992.2001.01037.x>
- Pérez, C. (2015). 11. Capitalism, Technology and a Green Global Golden Age: The Role of History in Helping to Shape the Future. *The Political Quarterly*, 86(S1), 191–217. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-923x.12240>
- Perrings, C. (2010). The economics of biodiversity: the evolving agenda. *Environment and Development Economics*, 15(6), 721–746. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1355770x10000343>
- Ponting, C. (1990). Historical Perspectives on Sustainable Development. *Environment Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 32(9), 4–39. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.1990.9929051>
- Posłuszna, E. (2015). Environmental Movement: The Origins, Development, and Radicalization. In *Else-*

- vier eBooks (pp. 115–130). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-801478-3.00007-2>
- Poudel, K. P. (2014). Resource Management: A Geographical Perspective. *The Third Pole Journal of Geography Education*, 21–28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3126/tp.v11i0.11526>
- Pullen, J. (2013). An Essay on Distributive Justice and the Equal Ownership of Natural Resources. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 72(5), 1044–1074. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajes.12035>
- Ragheb, G., El-Wahab, M. A., & Ragheb, R. A. (2022). Sustainable Indicators Framework for Strategic Urban Development: A Case Study of Abu Teeg City in Assiut, Egypt. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 17(1), 91–107. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.170109>
- Raimi, D. (2020). The greenhouse gas effects of increased US oil and gas production. *Energy Transitions*, 4(1), 45–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41825-020-00022-1>
- Rajapaksa, D., Islam, M., & Managi, S. (2017). Natural Capital Depletion: the Impact of Natural Disasters on Inclusive Growth. *Economics of Disasters and Climate Change*, 1(3), 233–244. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41885-017-0009-y>
- Ratna, R. V. (2017). Economic Analysis of Health Impacts in Developing Countries. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 221–230). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-409548-9.10939-x>
- Reinman, S. L. (2015). Open Knowledge Repository. *Reference Reviews*, 29(5), 21–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/rr-05-2015-0113>
- Ross, M. L. (2014). What Have We Learned about the Resource Curse? *Annual Review of Political Science*, 18(1), 239–259. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-052213-040359>
- Rudra, N., & Jensen, N. M. (2011). Globalization and the Politics of Natural Resources. *Comparative Political Studies*, 44(6), 639–661. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414011401207>
- Runyan, C., & D'Odorico, P. (2016). Economic Impacts and Drivers of Deforestation. In Cambridge University Press eBooks (pp. 145–172). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781316471548.006>
- Ruta, M., & Venables, A. J. (2012). International Trade in Natural Resources: Practice and Policy. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 4(1), 331–352. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-110811-114526>
- Sabour, S. A. (2003). Quantifying the external cost of oil consumption within the context of sustainable development. *Energy Policy*, 33(6), 809–813. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2003.10.006>
- Sagoff, M. (2009). The Economic Value of Ecosystem Services. *BioScience*, 59(6), 461. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.6.18>
- Saint-Macary, C., Keil, A., Nielsen, T., Birkenberg, A., Van, L. T. A., Van, D. T. T., Ufer, S., Dung, P. T. M., Heidhues, F., & Zeller, M. (2012). Linkages Between Agriculture, Poverty and Natural Resource Use in Mountainous Regions of Southeast Asia. In Springer environmental science and engineering (pp. 175–212). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33377-4_5
- Saleh, H., Surya, B., Ahmad, D. N. A., & Manda, D. (2020). The Role of Natural and Human Resources on Economic Growth and Regional Development: With Discussion of Open Innovation Dynamics. *Journal of Open Innovation Technology Market and Complexity*, 6(4), 103. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6040103>
- Salih, T. M. (2003). Sustainable economic development and the environment. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 30(1/2), 153–162. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/03068290310453655>
- Sam, K., Pegg, S., & Oladejo, A. O. (2024). Mining from the pipeline: Artisanal oil refining as a consequence of failed CSR policies in the Niger Delta. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 352, 120038. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120038>
- Sani, N. G. D. (2019). Renewable Energy: Environmental Impacts and Economic Benefits for Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Engineering Research And*, V8(08). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17577/ijertv8is080224>
- Sarkar, A., & Wolter, N. (1998). Environmental Externalities From Energy Sources: A Review in the Context of Global Climate Change. *Strategic Planning for Energy and the Environment*, 18(2), 55–63. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10485236.1998.10530556>
- Schilling, M., & Chiang, L. (2010). The effect of natural resources on a sustainable development policy: The approach of non-sustainable externalities. *Energy Policy*, 39(2), 990–998. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.11.030>
- Schipper, Y., Rietveld, P., & Nijkamp, P. (2001). Environmental externalities in air transport markets. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 7(3), 169–179. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0969-6997\(01\)00002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0969-6997(01)00002-3)
- Schulz, K., & Briskey, J. (2003). The Global Mineral Resource Assessment Project. Fact Sheet. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3133/fs05303>
- Seidl, P. R. (1995). Amazon Biodiversity: A Renewable Natural Resource? In ACS symposium series (pp. 2–7). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-1995-0588.ch001>
- Shabbir, A., Kousar, S., & Kousar, F. (2020). The role of natural resources in economic growth: new evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Economics Finance and Administrative Science*, 25(50), 221–238. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/jefas-03-2019-0044>
- Shah, Z., Zaman, K., Khan, H. U. R., & Rashid, A. (2022). The Economic Value of Natural Resources and Its Implications for Pakistan's Economic Growth. *Commodities*, 1(2), 65–97. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/commodities1020006>
- Shine, K. I. (1996). The wealth of nations: Knowledge as a national resource. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 174(4), 1089–1093. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378\(96\)70649-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9378(96)70649-x)
- Sibi, G. (2018). Bioenergy Production from Wastes by Microalgae as Sustainable Approach for Waste Management and to Reduce Resources Depletion. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences & Natural Resources*, 13(3). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19080/ijesnr.2018.13.555864>
- Singer, M. (2018). Climate Change and Social Inequality. In Routledge eBooks. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315103358>
- Sisaye, S. (2012). An ecological analysis of four competing approaches to sustainability development.

- World Journal of Entrepreneurship Management and Sustainable Development, 8(1), 18–35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/20425961211221606>
- Smart, S. (2020). The political economy of Latin American conflicts over mining extractivism. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 7(2), 767–779. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2020.02.004>
- Śmiech, S., Papież, M., Rubaszek, M., & Snarska, M. (2020). The role of oil price uncertainty shocks on oil-exporting countries. *Energy Economics*, 93, 105028. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2020.105028>
- Smyntyna, O. V. (2003). The Environmental Approach to Prehistoric Studies: Concepts and Theories. *History and Theory*, 42(4), 44–59. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2303.2003.00256.x>
- Somma, M. (2009). Chapter 2 Traditional living practices: Return to the villages. In *Advances in ecopolitics* (pp. 29–53). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1108/s2041-806x\(2009\)0000004005](https://doi.org/10.1108/s2041-806x(2009)0000004005)
- Sooriyaarachchi, T. M., Tsai, I., Khatib, S. E., Farid, A. M., & Mezher, T. (2015). Job creation potentials and skill requirements in, PV, CSP, wind, water-to-energy and energy efficiency value chains. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52, 653–668. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.143>
- Spash, C. L. (1995). The political economy of nature*. *Review of Political Economy*, 7(3), 279–293. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259500000042>
- Stefanakakis, A. I., Calheiros, C. S., & Nikolaou, I. (2021). Nature-Based Solutions as a Tool in the New Circular Economic Model for Climate Change Adaptation. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, 1(1), 303–318. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43615-021-00022-3>
- Stężala, A., Wyrwa, A., Pluta, M., Zysk, J., & Sliz, B. (2009). Externalities of Energy Production: The Hot Issue. *World Futures*, 65(5–6), 406–416. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02604020903021727>
- Stigson, B. (1999). Sustainable development for industry and society. *Building Research & Information*, 27(6), 424–430. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/096132199369282>
- Sverdan, M. (2021). The blue economy: A new trend in social development. *Green Blue and Digital Economy Journal*, 2(3), 49–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30525/2661-5169/2021-3-8>
- Telles, T. S., Dechen, S. C. F., De Souza, L. G. A., & De Fátima Guimarães, M. (2013a). Valuation and assessment of soil erosion costs. *Scientia Agricola*, 70(3), 209–216. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-90162013000300010>
- The economics of biodiversity: The Dasgupta Review | Royal Society. (2024). Available at: <https://royalsociety.org/news-resources/projects/bio-diversity/economics-biodiversity/>
- Theodore, O. (2017). Management of transboundary natural resources. *Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution*, 9(4), 42–52. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5897/jlcr2016.0266>
- Thomas, D. S., & Twyman, C. (2005). Equity and justice in climate change adaptation amongst natural-resource-dependent societies. *Global Environmental Change*, 15(2), 115–124. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.10.001>
- Thomas, J. W. (1995). The Forest Service ethics and course to the future. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 32(3), 157–159. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2046\(94\)00196-a](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2046(94)00196-a)
- Tilton, J. E. (2005). Depletion and the Long-Run Availability of Mineral Commodities [Wealth Creation in the Minerals Industry: Integrating Science, Business, and Education]. In *The Society of Economic Geologists* (pp. 61–70). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5382/sp.12.03>
- Tinch, R., Beaumont, N., Sunderland, T., Ozdemiroglu, E., Barton, D., Bowe, C., Börger, T., Burgess, P., Cooper, C. N., Faccioli, M., Failler, P., Gkolemi, I., Kumar, R., Longo, A., McVittie, A., Morris, J., Park, J., Ravenscroft, N., Schaafsma, M., . . . Ziv, G. (2019). Economic valuation of ecosystem goods and services: a review for decision makers. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 8(4), 359–378. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21606544.2019.1623083>
- Tirado, M., Cohen, M., Aberman, N., Meerman, J., & Thompson, B. (2010). Addressing the challenges of climate change and biofuel production for food and nutrition security. *Food Research International*, 43(7), 1729–1744. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.03.010>
- Tol, R. S. J. (2009). The Economic Effects of Climate Change. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23(2), 29–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.23.2.29>
- Tran, H., Polka, E., Buonocore, J. J., Roy, A., Trask, B., Hull, H., & Arunachalam, S. (2024). Air Quality and Health Impacts of Onshore Oil and Gas Flaring and Venting Activities Estimated Using Refined Satellite - Based Emissions. *GeoHealth*, 8(3). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023gh000938>
- Tseng, M. L., Chiu, A. S., Ashton, W., & Moreau, V. (2019). Sustainable management of natural resources toward sustainable development goals. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 145, 419–421. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.012>
- Turner, R., Adger, W., & Brouwer, R. (1998). Ecosystem services value, research needs, and policy relevance: a commentary. *Ecological Economics*, 25(1), 61–65. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-8009\(98\)00018-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-8009(98)00018-4)
- Unruh, G. (2007). Sustainable development vs. sustainable redevelopment. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 50(1), 17–23. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.20173>
- Usman, M., & Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2022). Environmental concern in the era of industrialization: Can financial development, renewable energy and natural resources alleviate some load? *Energy Policy*, 162, 112780. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.112780>
- Veiga, M. M., Scoble, M., & McAllister, M. L. (2001). Mining with communities. *Natural Resources Forum*, 25(3), 191–202. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-8947.2001.tb00761.x>
- Vermeij, G. J., & Leigh, E. G. (2011). Natural and human economies compared. *Ecosphere*, 2(4), art39. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1890/es11-00004.1>
- Vojnovic, I. (1995). Intergenerational and Intragenerational Equity Requirements for Sustainability. *Environmental Conservation*, 22(3), 223–228. DOI:

- <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0376892900010626>
Wang, F., Jin, M., Li, J., Zhang, Y., & Chen, J. (2022). Profound Impact of Economic Openness and Digital Economy towards a Sustainable Development: A New Look at RCEP Economies. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 13922. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142113922>
- Wang, K., Belt, M. C. D., Heath, G., Walzberg, J., Curtis, T., Berrie, J., Schröder, P., Lazer, L., & Altamirano, J. (2022). Circular economy as a climate strategy: current knowledge and calls-to-action. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2172/1897625>
- Wang, S. J. (2014). A Few Basis Points of the Ecological Economy. *Advanced Materials Research*, 1073–1076, 2871–2874. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.1073-1076.2871>
- Ware, H. (2005). Demography, Migration and Conflict in the Pacific. *Journal of Peace Research*, 42(4), 435–454. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343305054090>
- Weick, V. (2016). *Green Economy and sustainable development*. In Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks.
- DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783473816.00016>
Williams, M. (1998). “The End of Modern History”? *Geographical Review*, 88(2), 275. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/215805>
- Woodward, R. T., & Wui, Y. (2001). The economic value of wetland services: a meta-analysis. *Ecological Economics*, 37(2), 257–270. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-8009\(00\)00276-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0921-8009(00)00276-7)
- Xu, Y., & Zhao, F. (2023). Impact of energy depletion, human development, and income distribution on natural resource sustainability. *Resources Policy*, 83, 103531. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.103531>
- Yilin, Z. (2013). The Man-Nature Relationship in Chinese History: A Study from Multiple Perspectives. *Social Sciences in China*, 34(4), 193–201. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02529203.2013.849099>
- York, J. G., & Venkataraman, S. (2010). The entrepreneur–environment nexus: Uncertainty, innovation, and allocation. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 25(5), 449–463. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.07.007>

Received: September 18, 2024 | Revised: October 28, 2024 | Accepted: November 09, 2024
