Opinion

Environment

Water Splitting for Hydrogen
A Promising Way to Slow Down Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Noriko Hoshino*
Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Higashi-ku Fukuoka, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Noriko Hoshino
Author's Contact: Noriko Hoshino, Ph.D., E-mail: noriko.hoshino@hotmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.op250
Funding: No funding source declared.
COI: The author declares no competing interest.
AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Water splitting for hydrogen production represents an optimistic technological advancement that has the potential to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. Utilizing renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind power, to electrolyze water into its fundamental components—hydrogen and oxygen—ensures that no detrimental greenhouse gases are emitted into the atmosphere during the process. Hydrogen has been widely recognized as a pure energy source owing to its high energy density and its capacity to generate electricity via fuel cells without emitting carbon emissions. The expansion of the hydrogen economy has the potential to substantially diminish our dependence on fossil fuels and decrease the overall carbon footprint across multiple sectors, including transportation and manufacturing. Although challenges pertaining to scalability and cost-effectiveness remain, ongoing research and development in water splitting technologies presents significant potential for addressing climate change and fostering a more sustainable future.

Keywords: Water Splitting; Hydrogen; Greenhouse Gases; Environmental Protection; Sustainability

Science Insights, February 28, 2025; Vol. 46, No. 2, pp.1729-1731.

HYDROGEN has been widely recognized as a pure and efficient substitute for conventional fossil fuels, with one of the primary techniques for its production being the process of water splitting (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2024). Water splitting, commonly referred to as electrolysis, is the process of decomposing water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen gases through the application of an electric current (Bui et al., 2023). This method of hydrogen production is gaining prominence as an effective strategy for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and addressing climate change.

A primary rationale is that hydrogen is inherently a clean-burning fuel. Upon the combustion of hydrogen, it reacts with atmospheric oxygen to yield water vapor and thermal energy, while generating no detrimental emissions, such as carbon dioxide or particulate matter (Dash et al., 2023). This positions hydrogen as a compelling alternative to conventional fossil fuels, which are the primary contributors to greenhouse gas emissions and climate change.

In addition to its environmentally friendly combustion characteristics, hydrogen can also be generated utilizing renewable energy sources, including wind, solar, and hydroelectric power (Baykara, 2004; Nicoletti et al., 2014). By employing these renewable energy sources to facilitate the electrolysis process, the splitting of water can significantly diminish greenhouse gas emissions by obviating the reliance on fossil fuels for hydrogen production (Alotaibi et al., 2024). This indicates that hydrogen is not only a clean-burning fuel but can also be generated in a sustainable and environmentally responsible manner.

By increasing energy efficiency, water splitting can contribute to a decrease in greenhouse gas emissions (Dinçer & Zamfirescu, 2012). Conventional fossil fuel combustion processes exhibit intrinsic inefficiencies, resulting in a substantial proportion of the energy contained within the fuel being dissipated as waste heat (Dinçer & Zamfirescu, 2012; Koros & Lively, 2012). In contrast, electrolysis possesses the potential for significantly greater energy efficiency, with conversion efficiencies reaching nearly 90% in certain instances (Woudstra et al., 2006). This indicates that the process of water splitting has the potential to diminish overall energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions by optimizing the utilization of available energy resources.

An additional method by which water splitting for hydrogen can mitigate greenhouse gas emissions is through its facilitation of the storage and transportation of renewable energy (Dinçer & Rosen, 2011). A primary challenge associated with renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar power, lies in their intermittent nature; the generation of energy from these sources is contingent upon prevailing weather conditions. It is possible to store and transport this energy in the form of a clean-burning propellant by using surplus renewable energy to produce hydrogen through water splitting (Turner et al., 2007). This can contribute to diminishing the reliance on fossil fuel-based standby power generation, which is frequently employed to augment renewable energy sources during periods of insufficient energy production.

In order to decarbonize challenging industries like manufacturing, transportation, and heating, water splitting is essential (Zhu et al., 2023). These sectors contribute substantially to global greenhouse gas emissions, and the transition to hydrogen as a pure energy carrier has the potential to mitigate emissions in these domains (Zohbi, 2022). For instance, hydrogen may serve as a fuel for industrial processes, as a transportation fuel for fuel cell vehicles, and as an environmentally friendly combustion fuel for the heating of buildings (Dodds et al., 2015). The substitution of fossil fuels in these sectors with hydrogen generated via water splitting presents a viable opportunity to substantially diminish greenhouse gas emissions and address the challenges posed by climate change.

Splitting of water contributes to the mitigation of air pollution and the enhancement of air quality (Bui et al., 2023; Hossain et al., 2019). The combustion of traditional fossil fuels constitutes a significant source of deleterious air pollutants, including sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter (Komar & Lalić, 2015). These pollutants have been associated with respiratory diseases, cardiovascular complications, and premature mortality. The substitution of fossil fuels with hydrogen as a clean-burning fuel has the potential to substantially mitigate air pollution and enhance public health (Balat, 2008). This may yield beneficial effects for communities situated in proximity to industrial facilities, transportation centers, and other sources of air pollution.

The process of water splitting for hydrogen production generates novel economic prospects and employment opportunities within the renewable energy sector (Agyekum et al., 2022). As the worldwide demand for sustainable energy escalates, there is an increasing necessity for proficient professionals in the domains of renewable energy, energy storage, and hydrogen production. By investing in water-splitting technology and the requisite infrastructure, nations can generate new employment opportunities and foster economic growth within these burgeoning sectors (Ebuehi et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2023). This initiative has the potential to foster innovation, attract investment, and advance sustainable development, all while concurrently diminishing greenhouse gas emissions and addressing climate change.

Reducing reliance on imported fossil fuels and enhancing energy security are two benefits of water splitting (Karuturi et al., 2020; Stark & Klausner, 2017). Numerous nations exhibit a significant reliance on external sources of oil and gas to fulfill their energy requirements, rendering them susceptible to supply disruptions, price volatility, and geopolitical conflicts. By establishing a domestic hydrogen production infrastructure that utilizes water splitting and renewable energy sources, nations can bolster their energy security and diminish their reliance on imported fossil fuels (Agyekum et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the process of water splitting for hydrogen production holds immense potential to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, and energy insecurity, while also presenting new economic opportunities and enhancing energy security.■

Received: December 05, 2024 | Revised: January 10, 2025 | Accepted: January 12, 2025

References

Agyekum, E. B., Nutakor, C., Agwa, A. M., & Kamel, S. (2022). A critical review of renewable hydrogen production methods: factors affecting their Scale-Up and its role in future energy generation. Membranes, 12(2), 173. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes12020173

Alotaibi, N. H., Shah, J. H., Nisa, M. U., Mohammad, S., Özcan, H. G., Abid, A. G., & Allakhverdiev, S. I. (2024). Catalytic enhancement of graphene oxide by trace molybdenum oxide nanoparticles doping: Optimized electrocatalyst for green hydrogen production. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 62, 488–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.03.032

AlZohbi, G. (2022). Green hydrogen generation: recent advances and challenges. IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science, 1050(1), 012003. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1050/1/012003

Balat, M. (2008). Potential importance of hydrogen as a future solution to environmental and transportation problems. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 33(15), 4013–4029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.05.047

Baykara, S. (2004). Hydrogen as fuel: a critical technology? International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 30(5), 545–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2004.06.010

Bui, H. T., Lam, N. D., Linh, D. C., Mai, N. T., Chang, H., Han, S., Oanh, V. T. K., Pham, A. T., Patil, S. A., Tung, N. T., & Shrestha, N. K. (2023). Escalating catalytic activity for hydrogen evolution reaction on MOSE2@Graphene functionalization. Nanomaterials, 13(14), 2139. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13142139

Dash, S. K., Chakraborty, S., & Elangovan, D. (2023). A brief review of hydrogen production methods and their challenges. Energies, 16(3), 1141. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16031141

Dincer, I., & Rosen, M. A. (2011). Sustainability aspects of hydrogen and fuel cell systems. Energy Sustainable Development/Energy for Sustainable Development, 15(2), 137–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2011.03.006

Dincer, I., & Zamfirescu, C. (2012a). Renewable-energy-based multigeneration systems. International Journal of Energy Research, 36(15), 1403–1415. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.2882

Dincer, I., & Zamfirescu, C. (2012b). Sustainable hydrogen production options and the role of IAHE. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 37(21), 16266–16286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.02.133

Dodds, P. E., Staffell, I., Hawkes, A. D., Li, F., Grünewald, P., McDowall, W., & Ekins, P. (2015). Hydrogen and fuel cell technologies for heating: A review. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 40(5), 2065–2083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.11.059

Ebuehi, O. N., Abhulimen, K., & Adebesin, D. O. (2021). Modelling Production of Renewable Energy from Water Splitting High Thermal Electrolysis Processes. European Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, 6(3), 79–86. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejeng.2021.6.3.2391

Hossain, A., Sakthipandi, K., Ullah, A. K. M. A., & Roy, S. (2019). Recent Progress and Approaches on Carbon-Free Energy from Water Splitting. Nano-Micro Letters, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0335-4

Karuturi, S. K., Shen, H., Sharma, A., Beck, F. J., Varadhan, P., Duong, Narangari, P. R., Zhang, D., Wan, Y., He, J., Tan, H. H., Jagadish, C., & Catchpole, K. (2020). Solar water splitting: over 17% efficiency Stand-Alone solar water splitting enabled by Perovskite-Silicon tandem absorbers (Adv. Energy Mater. 28/2020). Advanced Energy Materials, 10(28). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202070122

Komar, I., & Lalić, B. (2015). Sea transport air pollution. In InTech eBooks. https://doi.org/10.5772/59720

Koros, W. J., & Lively, R. P. (2012). Water and beyond: Expanding the spectrum of large-scale energy efficient separation processes. AIChE Journal, 58(9), 2624-2633. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.13888

Krishnamoorthy, V., Bangolla, H. K., Chen, C., Huang, Y., Cheng, C., Ulaganathan, R. K., Sankar, R., Lee, K., Du, H., Chen, L., Chen, K., & Chen, R. (2024). Efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in 2H-MOS2 basal planes enhanced by surface electron accumulation. Catalysts, 14(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal14010050

Nicoletti, G., Arcuri, N., Nicoletti, G., & Bruno, R. (2014). A technical and environmental comparison between hydrogen and some fossil fuels. Energy Conversion and Management, 89, 205–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.09.057

Stark, A. K., & Klausner, J. F. (2018). An R&D Strategy to Decouple Energy from Water. Joule, 2(6), 1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2018.05.013

Turner, J., Sverdrup, G., Mann, M. K., Maness, P., Kroposki, B., Ghirardi, M., Evans, R. J., & Blake, D. (2007). Renewable hydrogen production. International Journal of Energy Research, 32(5), 379–407. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.1372

Woudstra, N., Van Der Stelt, T. P., & Hemmes, K. (2006). The thermodynamic evaluation and optimization of fuel cell systems. Journal of Fuel Cell Science and Technology, 3(2), 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2174064

Zhu, Z., Yang, X., Liu, J., Zhu, M., & Xu, X. (2023). Handily etching nickel foams into catalyst-substrate fusion self-stabilized electrodes toward industrial-level water electrolysis. Carbon Energy, 5(10). https://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.327