

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence and Your Life The Problems We Must Face

Ga Eun Ko*

Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, South Korea

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Ga Eun Ko.

Author's Contact: Ga Eun Ko, Ph.D., E-mail: ga_ko1@snu.ac.kr

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.pe211>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming human life at an unprecedented pace. From revolutionizing industries and improving health outcomes to shaping how we interact with the world and each other, AI technologies are deeply embedded in modern life. While these systems bring powerful benefits, they also pose serious problems that demand urgent attention. This essay explores key issues related to algorithmic bias, loss of privacy, job displacement, psychological impacts, social inequality, environmental concerns, and inadequate governance. It highlights that as AI continues to evolve, societies must establish ethical frameworks, regulatory policies, and inclusive design processes to ensure AI technologies serve the collective good. Failing to face these problems now may result in long-term consequences that compromise human dignity, autonomy, and societal cohesion.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Underlying Mechanisms; Human Life; Deep Thinking; Social Autonomy

Science Insights, April 30, 2025; Vol. 46, No. 4, pp.1799-1803.

© 2025 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

Introduction

IN THE 21st century, artificial intelligence (AI) has become a defining force in society. Once the domain of science fiction, AI is now present in nearly every corner of our lives—from the algorithms that curate our social media feeds to the intelligent systems that diagnose diseases, drive cars, and automate homes (Kassem et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025). As we marvel at the capabilities of machines that can learn, reason, and even create, we must also confront the sobering reality: AI is not inherently neutral. It reflects human values, biases, and power

dynamics. As such, it offers both immense potential and profound risks.

Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

At the core of AI lies machine learning, which depends on data for training. But data are rarely objective. They mirror social history, and with it, human bias. When AI systems are trained on biased or incomplete datasets, they can produce discriminatory outcomes (Panch et al., 2019). One widely cited example is

COMPAS, a criminal risk assessment tool used in U.S. courtrooms, which was found to overestimate the risk of reoffending among Black defendants compared to white defendants (Guidotti et al., 2019). Similarly, Amazon once had to scrap a hiring algorithm because it penalized resumes containing the word "women's," reflecting the male dominance in its historical hiring data (Arnold et al., 2021).

Bias is particularly dangerous when embedded in high-stakes domains like healthcare, policing, credit scoring, and employment. A flawed algorithm in a hospital system may deprioritize care for marginalized populations, while a biased facial recognition tool may lead to wrongful arrests or surveillance abuses (Jarvis et al., 2020).

Mitigating algorithmic bias requires more than technical solutions—it calls for diverse teams in AI development, critical oversight, public input, and access to auditability (Noorden, 2020). Bias must be identified not just as a statistical anomaly, but as a social justice issue.

The Erosion of Privacy and Data Exploitation

The power of AI lies in its hunger for data. Whether through cookies, smartphone GPS, wearable sensors, or social media activity, users are constantly feeding these systems, often unwittingly (Walsh, 2022). These data enable AI to predict preferences, behaviors, health conditions, and even emotional states. While such information has led to highly personalized services—like Netflix recommendations or health-tracking apps—it has also facilitated widespread surveillance and data exploitation.

One concerning trend is the commodification of personal data. Tech corporations harvest vast quantities of information not only to tailor ads but to shape consumer behavior (Rubeis, 2023). This manipulation can erode autonomy, especially when it becomes imperceptible. Cambridge Analytica's use of Facebook data during the 2016 U.S. election showed how personal data could be weaponized to influence political outcomes (Pariser, 2012).

Moreover, AI-driven surveillance systems are proliferating, especially in authoritarian states. In China, facial recognition is used for real-time population monitoring, while emotion-recognition AI is being tested to track mood in schools and workplaces (Zeng, 2020). Without robust legal protections, such technologies pose existential threats to freedom of expression and privacy. We must push for clear data rights, informed consent, and international regulations that put human dignity above corporate or state control.

AI and the Future of Work

Automation, powered by AI, is reshaping the labor market. Tasks once reserved for human workers—analyzing legal contracts, scanning radiological images, answering customer queries—are now being performed by intelligent systems with remarkable efficiency (Uddin, 2023). This trend, while improving productivity and lowering costs, poses significant challenges to the human workforce.

According to a 2023 report by the World Economic Forum, up to 85 million jobs could be displaced by AI and automation by 2025, particularly in routine and administrative roles (Shen &

Zhang, 2024). While it's estimated that 97 million new roles may emerge, these jobs often require digital fluency, creative thinking, and adaptability—skills not equally distributed across the population.

This creates a paradox: AI may enhance economic growth, but also deepen inequality. Workers in developing countries, older adults, and those without access to quality education are particularly vulnerable (Capraro et al., 2024). There is also the psychological toll—feelings of obsolescence, reduced job satisfaction, and increased stress in adapting to rapidly changing environments.

To ensure a just transition, governments and industries must invest in accessible reskilling programs, strengthen social safety nets, and recognize the value of human contributions that machines cannot replace—like empathy, intuition, and cultural knowledge.

Psychological and Cognitive Consequences

Beyond economics, AI subtly influences how we think, feel, and relate to one another. Recommendation algorithms optimize for engagement, often prioritizing content that provokes strong emotional responses (Schuster & Lazar, 2024). This dynamic contributes to political polarization, misinformation, and the erosion of shared reality.

Social media platforms, powered by AI, have been linked to declining mental health, particularly among adolescents (Kanchan & Gaidhane, 2023). The constant comparison to idealized images, the addictive nature of infinite scroll, and the loss of real human interaction can lead to depression, anxiety, and loneliness.

Emerging AI companions like Replika or virtual influencers like Lil Miquela introduce new complexities in emotional relationships (Marriott & Pitardi, 2023). While these systems can offer comfort, particularly to those facing isolation, they also raise ethical questions: What does it mean to form attachments to entities without consciousness? Could these relationships reduce our motivation to seek human connection, or desensitize us to genuine emotional needs?

A more humane digital ecosystem must prioritize psychological well-being. Ethical design, digital literacy education, and regulation of persuasive AI are vital to restore balance in how we relate to both machines and each other.

Deepening Inequality and Technological Elitism

AI development and deployment are currently dominated by a handful of powerful corporations and countries. The so-called "AI arms race" has concentrated influence in the hands of Big Tech—companies with the capital, data, and talent to drive innovation (Liang et al., 2018). This centralization creates a new form of digital oligarchy, where the benefits of AI accrue to the few while the burdens fall on the many.

Technological elitism manifests in several ways. In education, wealthier institutions can afford AI tutors, predictive analytics, and adaptive learning platforms, while underfunded schools lag behind (Roshanaei et al., 2023). In healthcare, AI-driven diagnostics and precision medicine are often limited to affluent areas (Glauber et al., 2023). In law enforcement,

predictive policing tools are disproportionately deployed in communities of color, often exacerbating existing inequalities (Allen et al., 2020).

Internationally, countries in the Global South face significant barriers to accessing AI infrastructure and knowledge (Morley et al., 2021). This global divide threatens to entrench economic disparities, leaving developing nations dependent on foreign AI systems with little input or control.

An equitable AI future requires open-source platforms, decentralized innovation, and inclusive policy-making. We must question not just how AI works, but for whom it works—and at whose expense.

Environmental Impact of AI

Rarely discussed, but increasingly urgent, is the environmental footprint of AI. Training large language models or neural networks requires vast computational resources and energy. For instance, OpenAI's GPT-3 reportedly required hundreds of megawatt-hours of electricity to train (Patil, 2025). With AI systems growing in complexity and usage, their carbon emissions are becoming a significant concern.

Moreover, data centers powering AI applications consume vast amounts of water for cooling and contribute to e-waste due to rapidly obsolete hardware (Chen, 2025). As AI adoption scales across industries, its environmental impact could rival or surpass that of other major sectors.

Sustainable AI practices must become a priority. This includes energy-efficient architectures, better hardware utilization, algorithmic transparency, and global standards for green computing. AI should also be used to support environmental protection—such as optimizing energy grids, monitoring biodiversity, and modeling climate scenarios—rather than contributing to ecological degradation.

The Need for Ethical Governance and Regulation

Despite the growing power of AI, its regulation remains inconsistent and reactive. The lack of enforceable global standards has created a Wild West landscape, where companies experiment freely with technologies that affect millions without meaningful

oversight (Schmitt & Koutroumpis, 2025).

Some regions are taking steps in the right direction. The European Union's AI Act seeks to ban "unacceptable-risk" applications (like social scoring systems) and impose transparency requirements on high-risk uses (Gupta et al., 2025). Similarly, UNESCO's 2021 Recommendation on the Ethics of AI calls for human oversight, data protection, and inclusive development (Dhirani et al., 2023).

But international coordination remains limited. The U.S., China, and EU each pursue distinct regulatory philosophies, and many countries lack the capacity to implement robust frameworks at all (Percy et al., 2022). Moreover, laws alone are not enough. We need a cultural shift—embedding ethics into the design process, supporting whistleblowers, and creating public forums where communities can shape AI's role in their lives.

Governance must be anticipatory, not reactive. It should be informed by diverse perspectives and grounded in the belief that technology must be aligned with democratic values and human rights (Baskara, 2024).

Conclusions

AI is one of the most powerful tools humanities has ever created. It promises to cure diseases, revolutionize education, tackle climate change, and unlock new forms of creativity. But it also poses existential risks—bias, surveillance, inequality, environmental harm, and the erosion of truth, autonomy, and connection.

The problems we face are not abstract or futuristic—they are happening now. And while they are complex, they are not insurmountable. The future of AI is not preordained. It depends on the choices we make today: the laws we pass, the values we encode, the institutions we strengthen, and the voices we include. We must demand transparency, accountability, and ethical design. As societies, we must invest in education, equity, and sustainability. As a global community, we must ensure that AI serves all people—not just those with power and access. However, we must not simply ask what AI can do, but what it *should* do. Only by facing the hard questions—together—can we ensure that AI enhances human life rather than diminishes it. ■

Received: February 20, 2025 | Revised: March 07, 2025 | Accepted: March 26, 2025

References

- Allen, A., Mataraso, S., Siefkas, A., Burdick, H., Braden, G., Dellinger, R. P., McCoy, A., Pellegrini, E., Hoffman, J., Green-Saxena, A., Barnes, G., Calvert, J., & Das, R. (2020). A racially unbiased, machine learning Approach to Prediction of Mortality: Algorithm Development study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 6(4), e22400. <https://doi.org/10.2196/22400>
- Arnold, D., Dobbie, W., & Hull, P. (2021). Measuring racial discrimination in algorithms. *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, 111, 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20211080>
- Baskara, F. R. (2024). Conceptualizing Digital Literacy for the AI Era: A framework for Preparing students in an AI-Driven world. *Data & Metadata*, 4, 530. <https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2025530>
- Capraro, V., Lentsch, A., Acemoglu, D., Akgun, S., Akhmedova, A., Bilancini, E., Bonnefon, J., Brañas-Garza, P., Butera, L., Douglas, K. M., Everett, J. a. C., Gigerenzer, G., Greenhow, C., Hashimoto, D. A., Holt-Lunstad, J., Jetten, J., Johnson, S., Kunz, W. H., Longoni, C., . . . Viale, R. (2024). The impact of generative artificial intelligence on socioeconomic inequalities and policy making. *PNAS Nexus*, 3(6). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae191>
- Chen, S. (2025). How much energy will AI really consume? The good, the bad and the unknown. *Nature*, 639(8053), 22–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-025-00616-z>
- Dhirani, L. L., Mukhtiar, N., Chowdhry, B. S., & Newe, T. (2023). Ethical Dilemmas and Privacy Issues in Emerging Technologies: A review. *Sensors*, 23(3), 1151. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031151>
- Glauberger, G., Ito-Fujita, A., Katz, S., & Callahan, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in nursing Education: Opportunities and challenges. *Hawai'i Journal of Health & Social Welfare*, 82(12), 302–305. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10713739/>
- Guidotti, R., Monreale, A., & Pedreschi, D. (2025, April 15). DBLP: ERCIM News, 2019. *Dblp Computer Science Bibliography*. <https://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/journals/ercim/ercim2019.html#GuidottiMP19>
- Gupta, A., Puri, M., Keshan, M., & Tiwari, V. (2025). AI in Financial Decision-Making: Revolutionizing investment strategies and risk management. In *Global Forum for Financial Consumers (GFFC) 2024*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5085764>
- Jarvis, T., Thornburg, D., Rebecca, A. M., & Teven, C. M. (2020). Artificial intelligence in plastic surgery: current applications, future directions, and ethical implications. *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery Global Open*, 8(10), e3200. <https://doi.org/10.1097/gox.00000000000003200>
- Kanchan, S., & Gaidhane, A. (2023). Social media role and its Impact on Public Health: A Narrative review. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.33737>
- Kassem, H., Beevi, A., Basheer, S., Lutfi, G., Ismail, L. C., & Papandreou, D. (2025). Investigation and Assessment of AI's Role in Nutrition—An Updated Narrative Review of the evidence. *Nutrients*, 17(1), 190. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu17010190>
- Liang, F., Das, V., Kostyuk, N., & Hussain, M. M. (2018). Constructing a Data - Driven Society: China's social credit system as a state surveillance infrastructure. *Policy & Internet*, 10(4), 415 - 453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.183>
- Marriott, H. R., & Pitardi, V. (2023). One is the loneliest number. . . Two can be as bad as one. The influence of AI Friendship Apps on users' well - being and addiction. *Psychology and Marketing*, 41(1), 86 - 101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21899>
- Morley, J., Elhalal, A., Garcia, F., Kinsey, L., Mökander, J., & Floridi, L. (2021). Ethics as a service: A pragmatic operationalisation of AI ethics. *Minds and Machines*, 31(2), 239–256. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-021-09563-w>
- Panch, T., Mattie, H., & Atun, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence and algorithmic bias: implications for health systems. *Journal of Global Health*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.09.0203>
- 18
- Pariser, E. (2012). The filter bubble: how the new personalized Web is changing what we read and how we think. *Choice Reviews Online*, 50(02), 50–0926. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.50-0926>
- Patil, D. (2025). Artificial Intelligence In Financial Risk Assessment And Fraud Detection: Opportunities And Ethical Concerns. *SSRN*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5057434>
- Percy, C., Dragicevic, S., Sarkar, S., & Garcez, A. D. (2022). Accountability in AI: From principles to industry-specific accreditation. *AI Communications*, 34(3), 181–196. <https://doi.org/10.3233/aic-210080>
- Roshanaei, M., Olivares, H., & Lopez, R. R. (2023). Harnessing AI to Foster equity in Education: Opportunities, challenges, and emerging strategies. *Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications*, 15(04), 123–143. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jilsa.2023.154009>
- Rubeis, G. (2023). Liquid Health. Medicine in the age of surveillance capitalism. *Social Science & Medicine*, 322, 115810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.115810>
- Schmitt, M., & Koutroumpis, P. (2025). Cyber Shadows: Neutralizing Security Threats with AI and Targeted Policy Measures. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tai.2025.3527398>
- Schuster, N., & Lazar, S. (2024). Attention, moral skill, and algorithmic recommendation. *Philosophical Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11098-023-02083-6>
- Shen, Y., & Zhang, X. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on employment: the role of virtual agglomeration. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02647-9>
- Uddin, A. S. M. A. (2023). The era of AI: Upholding ethical leadership. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 12(04), 400–417. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2023.1240>

Van Noorden, R. (2020). The ethical questions that haunt facial-recognition research. *Nature*, 587(7834), 354–358.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-03187-3>

Walsh, T. (2022). Will AI end privacy? How do we avoid an Orwellian future.

AI & Society, 38(3), 1239–1240.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01433-y>

Wang, J., Zeng, Z., Li, Z., Liu, G., Zhang, S., Luo, C., Hu, S., Wan, S., & Zhao, L. (2025). The clinical application of artificial intelligence in cancer precision treatment. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 23(1).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-025-06139-5>

Zeng, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and China's authoritarian governance. *International Affairs*, 96(6), 1441–1459.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaa172>